

## **BrokerCheck Report**

## **STUART L PEARL**

CRD# 1500833

Section Title	Page(s)
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 3
Registration and Employment History	5
Disclosure Events	6



Please be aware that fraudsters may link to BrokerCheck from phishing and similar scam websites, trying to steal your personal information or your money. Make sure you know who you're dealing with when investing, and contact FINRA with any concerns.

For more information read our <u>investor alert</u> on imposters.

#### About BrokerCheck®



BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

#### What is included in a BrokerCheck report?

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional
  qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck
  reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the
  same disclosure events mentioned above.
- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.
- Where did this information come from?
- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:
  - o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
  - o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.
- How current is this information?
- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.
- What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?
- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414.
- Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?
- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding
  to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser
  representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.

www.finra.org/brokercheck User Guidance

## STUART L. PEARL

CRD# 1500833

This broker is not currently registered.

## **Report Summary for this Broker**



This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

#### **Broker Qualifications**

This broker is not currently registered.

#### This broker has passed:

- 0 Principal/Supervisory Exams
- 2 General Industry/Product Exams
- 2 State Securities Law Exams

## **Registration History**

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

- B DAVID A. NOYES & COMPANY CRD# 205 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 07/2015 - 04/2019
- B AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. CRD# 6363 DEERFIELD, IL 06/2010 - 07/2015
- B MORGAN STANLEY SMITH BARNEY CRD# 149777 DEERFIELD, IL 06/2009 - 06/2010

#### **Disclosure Events**

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? Yes

# The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Туре	Count	
Regulatory Event	2	
Customer Dispute	8	
Termination	3	

# Investment Adviser Representative Information

The information below represents the individual's record as a broker. For details on this individual's record as an investment adviser representative, visit the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure website at

https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

## **Broker Qualifications**



## Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This broker is not currently registered.

www.finra.org/brokercheck

## **Broker Qualifications**



06/11/1986

## **Industry Exams this Broker has Passed**

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below.

This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 2 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

## **Principal/Supervisory Exams**

Exam	1	Category	Date			
	No information reported.					
Gene	General Industry/Product Exams					
Exam		Category	Date			
В	Securities Industry Essentials Examination	SIE	10/01/2018			
В	General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	05/17/1986			
State	State Securities Law Exams					
Exam		Category	Date			
IA	Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination	Series 65	07/25/1998			

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.

Series 63

Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

## **Broker Qualifications**



## **Professional Designations**

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.

## **Registration and Employment History**



## **Registration History**

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

Reg	istration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
B	07/2015 - 04/2019	DAVID A. NOYES & COMPANY	205	INDIANAPOLIS, IN
B	06/2010 - 07/2015	AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.	6363	DEERFIELD, IL
B	06/2009 - 06/2010	MORGAN STANLEY SMITH BARNEY	149777	DEERFIELD, IL
B	04/2001 - 06/2009	CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.	7059	DEERFIELD, IL
B	05/1986 - 04/2001	MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED	7691	NEW YORK, NY

## **Employment History**

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

<b>Employment</b>	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	<b>Employer Location</b>
03/2019 - Present	INTERNATIONAL ASSETS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC	INVESTMENT ADVISOR	Υ	RACINE, WI, United States
07/2015 - 03/2019	NOYES GROUP	FINANCIAL ADVISOR	Υ	Chicago, IL, United States
06/2010 - 06/2015	AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.	FINANCIAL ADVISOR	Υ	NORTHBROOK, IL, United States

## **Other Business Activities**

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.

#### **Disclosure Events**



#### What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

#### 2. Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:

- o A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

#### 3. Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:

 As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

#### 4. There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- o A disclosure event may have a status of pending, on appeal, or final.
  - A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
  - An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
  - A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- o A final event generally has a disposition of adjudicated, settled or otherwise resolved.
  - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
  - A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
  - A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Pending	Final	On Appeal
Regulatory Event	0	2	0
Customer Dispute	0	8	N/A
Termination	N/A	3	N/A

www.finra.org/brokercheck



#### **Disclosure Event Details**

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a discloure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

#### Regulatory - Final

This type of disclosure event may involve (1) a final, formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, self-regulatory organization, federal regulatory such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, foreign financial regulatory body) for a violation of investment-related rules or regulations; or (2) a revocation or suspension of a broker's authority to act as an attorney, accountant, or federal contractor.

Disclosure 1 of 2

Regulatory Action Initiated FINRA

Bv:

Sanction(s) Sought:

**Date Initiated:** 07/01/2021

**Docket/Case Number:** 2019060694202

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:

DAVID A. NOYES & COMPANY

**Product Type:** Other: Non-Traditional ETFs

Allegations: Without admitting or denying the findings, Pearl consented to the sanctions and to

the entry of findings that he recommended the purchase of leveraged and inverse traded funds (collectively Non-Traditional ETFs or NT-ETFs) to four customers without having a sufficient understanding of the risks and features associated with

these products and hereby having a reasonable basis to make these

recommendations. The findings stated that Pearl recommended nine NT-ETS purchases to four customers at the firm. All of these transactions were solicited. The customers held these positions for periods ranging from about 100 to 600 days, with the average holding period approximately 400 days. These extended holding periods caused Pearl's customers to incur approximately \$80,000 in losses. Pearl failed to perform a reasonable basis suitability analysis of NT-ETFs to



understand the unique features and specific risks associated with these products before offering them to his customers. In fact, the prospectus for the NT-ETFs that Pearl recommended warned that the products were very risky, intended to be utilized only by knowledgeable investors who understood the features and risks associated with NT-ETFs, and should be actively and frequently monitored on a daily basis. Moreover, Pearl did not understand that losses in NT-ETFs are compounded because of how the valuations reset each day.

Current Status: Final

**Resolution:** Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

No

Resolution Date: 07/01/2021

Sanctions Ordered: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Suspension

If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to supervise? No

(1) willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or to have been unable to comply with any provision of such Act, rule or regulation?



(2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or

(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities **Rulemaking Board?** 

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Suspension

Capacities Affected: All Capacities

**Duration:** Three Months

**Start Date:** 07/06/2021

End Date: 10/05/2021



Monetary Sanction 1 of 1

Monetary Related Sanction: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

**Total Amount:** \$5,000.00

Portion Levied against individual:

\$5,000.00

Payment Plan: Deferred

Is Payment Plan Current:

Date Paid by individual:

Was any portion of penalty

waived?

No

**Amount Waived:** 

Disclosure 2 of 2

Regulatory Action Initiated FINRA

By: Sanction(s) Sought:

Date Initiated: 10/09/2017

**Docket/Case Number:** 2015046329201

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:

Ameriprise Financial Services, Inc.

**Product Type:** Other: unspecified securities

Allegations: Without admitting or denying the findings, Pearl consented to the sanctions and to

the entry of findings that he effected securities transactions in a customer's account on several occasions on a discretionary basis without prior written authorization from the customer and without prior written acceptance of the account as discretionary from his member firm. The findings stated that on May 14, 2015, Pearl used discretion to liquidate positions in six different securities with a total principal amount of approximately \$20,000, on behalf of the customer, a senior investor. Although the customer had authorized Pearl to execute these liquidations in discussions that took place prior to May 14, 2015, Pearl failed to speak with the customer again on May 14, 2015, to confirm the customer's authorization to make these sales. The findings also stated that Pearl made



unsuitable recommendations in two other customers' joint brokerage account when he recommended the customers use margin to effect several trades. The recommendations made by Pearl to purchase securities on margin were unsuitable in light of the customers' investment objectives, risk tolerances, and their financial situation and needs. As a result of those purchases, the customers experienced a significant increase in their margin debt balances in relation to their available funds and their account was subject to seven margin calls during the relevant period.

Current Status: Final

**Resolution:** Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

No

Resolution Date: 10/09/2017

Sanctions Ordered: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Suspension

If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to supervise? No

(1) willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or to have been unable to comply with any provision of such Act, rule or regulation?



(2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or

(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity **Exchange Act, or any rule or** regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities **Rulemaking Board?** 

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Suspension

Capacities Affected: All capacities

**Duration:** 45 days

**Start Date:** 11/06/2017

**End Date:** 12/20/2017



**Monetary Sanction 1 of 1** 

Monetary Related Sanction: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

**Total Amount:** \$7,500.00

Portion Levied against

individual:

\$7,500.00

Payment Plan:

**Is Payment Plan Current:** 

Date Paid by individual: 10/25/2017

Was any portion of penalty

waived?

No

**Amount Waived:** 

Reporting Source: Firm

**Regulatory Action Initiated** 

By:

**FINRA** 

Sanction(s) Sought: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Suspension

**Date Initiated:** 09/28/2017

**Docket/Case Number:** CONSENT NO. 2015046329201

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the

regulatory action:

Ameriprise Financial Services, Inc.

**Product Type:** Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Other: ETF

Allegations: USED DISCRETION IN CUSTOMER ACCOUNT, WITHOUT DISCRETIONARY

APPROVAL IN VIOLATION OF NASD

RULE 2510(B) AND FINRA RULE 2010. UNSUITABLE RECOMMENDATION BY

RECOMMENDING CUSTOMER USE

MARGIN TO PURCHASE POSITIONS IN VIOLATION OF NASD RULE 2310(A)

**AND FINRA RULE 2010** 

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)



Resolution Date: 10/09/2017

Sanctions Ordered: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Nο

Suspension

If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to

supervise? (1) willfully violated any

- provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities **Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of** 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the **Commodity Exchange Act, or** any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or to have been unable to comply with any provision of such Act, rule or regulation?
- (2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or



(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities **Rulemaking Board?** 

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Suspension

Capacities Affected: All Capacities

**Duration:** 45 Calendar days

**Start Date:** 11/06/2017

**End Date:** 12/20/2017

Monetary Sanction 1 of 1

**Monetary Related Sanction:** Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

**Total Amount:** \$7,500.00

Portion Levied against

individual:

\$7,500.00

**Payment Plan:** 

**Is Payment Plan Current:** 

Date Paid by individual: 10/25/2017

Was any portion of penalty

waived?

No

**Amount Waived:** 



Reporting Source: Broker
Regulatory Action Initiated FINRA

By:

Sanction(s) Sought: Suspension

Other: \$7500 FINE

**Date Initiated:** 09/28/2017

Docket/Case Number: CONSENT NO. 2015046329201

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:

DAVID A. NOYES & COMPANY

**Product Type:** Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Allegations: USED DISCRETION IN CUSTOMER ACCOUNT, WITHOUT DISCRETIONARY

APPROVAL IN VIOLATION OF NASD RULE 2510(B) AND FINRA RULE 2010. UNSUITABLE RECOMMENDATION BY RECOMMENDING CUSTOMER USE MARGIN TO PURCHASE POSITIONS IN VIOLATION OF NASD RULE 2310(A)

AND FINRA RULE 2010

Current Status: Final

Limitation Details: 45 CALENDAR-DAY SUSPENSION AND \$7,500 FINE

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

Nο

**Resolution Date:** 10/09/2017

Sanctions Ordered: Suspension

Other: \$7500 FINE

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Suspension

Capacities Affected: ALL CAPACITIES

**Duration:** 45 CALENDAR DAY



 Start Date:
 11/06/2017

 End Date:
 12/20/2017

**Monetary Sanction 1 of 1** 

**Monetary Related Sanction:** Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

**Total Amount:** \$7,500.00

Portion Levied against

individual:

\$7,500.00

**Payment Plan:** 

**Is Payment Plan Current:** 

Date Paid by individual: 09/28/2017

Was any portion of penalty

waived?

No

**Amount Waived:** 



#### **Customer Dispute - Settled**

This type of disclosure event involves a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit containing allegations of sale practice violations against the broker that resulted in a monetary settlement to the customer.

Disclosure 1 of 7

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

DAVID A. NOYES & COMPANY

to the complaint:
Allegations:

Client alleged unsuitable trading of listed equities that were unauthorized, use of

margin and churning.

**Product Type:** 

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Alleged Damages:

\$825,000.00

Is this an oral complaint?

No

Is this a written complaint?

Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

## **Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 12/06/2022

Complaint Pending?

No

Status:

Settled

**Status Date:** 

03/08/2023

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

\$50,000.00 \$0.00

Amount:

Disclosure 2 of 7

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

to the complaint:

Allegations: Client alleged that her advisor made unauthorized and unsuitable investments and

churned her accounts using margin from June 2010 to July 2015.



Product Type: Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Alleged Damages: \$635,000.00

**Is this an oral complaint?** No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

#### **Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 11/17/2022

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

**Status Date:** 07/25/2023

Settlement Amount: \$70,000.00

**Individual Contribution** 

Amount:

\$0.00

#### Disclosure 3 of 7

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

David A. Noyes

Allegations: Customers allege that the representative had created a margin trading account

without discussing with them.

Product Type: Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

**Alleged Damages:** \$2,088,124.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

**Is this a written complaint?** Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

## **Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 05/18/2020

Complaint Pending? No



Status: Settled

 Status Date:
 05/24/2021

 Settlement Amount:
 \$70,000.00

**Individual Contribution** 

\$0.00

**Amount:** 

Disclosure 4 of 7

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

David A. Noyes

Allegations:

March 2019- Registered representative put on a large hedge position in customer's

account without the customer's knowledge.

**Product Type:** 

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Other: ETF \$85,000.00

Alleged Damages:

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not

exact):

Approximately \$85,000.

Is this an oral complaint?

No

Is this a written complaint?

Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

**Customer Complaint Information** 

**Date Complaint Received:** 03/10/2019

**Complaint Pending?** No

Status: Settled

**Status Date:** 07/01/2019

Settlement Amount: \$42,500.00

Individual Contribution \$0.00

Amount:



Firm Statement Customer has alleged unauthorized trading

Disclosure 5 of 7

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS, INC.; MORGAN STANLEY SMITH BARNEY

LLC

Allegations: CLAIMANT ALLEGES, INTER ALIA, THAT FROM 2004 THROUGH 2010 THE

FINANCIAL ADVISOR BOUGHT AND SOLD INVESTMENTS WITHOUT FIRST CONSULTING THE CLAIMANT, EXERCISING DE FACTO DISCRETIONARY

CONTROL OVER HER ACCOUNT.

**Product Type:** Other: IRA

Alleged Damages: \$300,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC

reparation or civil litigation?

Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location:

**FINRA** 

Yes

Docket/Case #:

13-00489

Filing date of

02/15/2013

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

## **Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 03/05/2013

**Complaint Pending?** No

Status: Settled

**Status Date:** 12/10/2013

Settlement Amount: \$9,500.00

Individual Contribution \$0.00

Amount:



Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS, INC.; MORGAN STANLEY SMITH BARNEY

LLC

Allegations: CLAIMANT ALLEGES, INTER ALIA, THAT FROM 2004 THROUGH 2010 THE

FINANCIAL ADVISOR BOUGHT AND SOLD INVESTMENTS WITHOUT FIRST CONSULTING THE CLAIMANT, EXERCISING DE FACTO DISCRETIONARY

CONTROL OVER HER ACCOUNT.

Product Type: Other: IRA

Alleged Damages: \$300,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum

or court name and location:

FINRA

**Docket/Case #:** 13-00489

Filing date of

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

02/15/2013

## **Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 03/05/2013

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

**Status Date:** 12/10/2013

Settlement Amount: \$9,500.00

Individual Contribution \$0.00

Amount:

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS, INC.; MORGAN STANLEY SMITH BARNEY

LLC; AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES INC.



Allegations: ALLEGATIONS AGAINST MORGAN STANLEY - CLAIMANT ALLEGES, INTER

ALIA, THAT FROM 2004 THROUGH 2010 THE FINANCIAL ADVISOR BOUGHT AND SOLD INVESTMENTS WITHOUT FIRST CONSULTING THE CLAIMANT, EXERCISING DE FACTO DISCRETIONARY CONTROL OVER HER ACCOUNT. ALLEGATIONS AGAINST AMERIPRISE - CLAIMANT ALLEGES THAT SINCE 2004, RESPONDENTS OVER TRADED AND HEAVILY MARGINED HER ACCOUNTS AND RECOMMENDED UNSUITABLE INVESTMENTS. CLAIMANT SEEKS \$300,000 IN DAMAGES, FILING FEES, ATTORNEY'S FEES AND

COSTS.

**Product Type:** Other: IRA/UNSPECIFIED SECURITIES

Alleged Damages: \$300,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location:

**FINRA** 

Docket/Case #: 13-00489

Filing date of

02/28/2013

arbitration/CFTC reparation

or civil litigation:

## **Customer Complaint Information**

Date Complaint Received: 02/28/2013

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

**Status Date:** 12/11/2013

Settlement Amount: \$95,500.00

**Individual Contribution** 

Amount:

\$0.00

Broker Statement AMERIPRISE CHOSE TO SETTLE THE MATTER FOR \$95,500. CITIGROUP

GLOBAL MARKETS, INC. SETTLED THE MATTER FOR \$9,500 ON 12/10/2013.

MORGAN STANLEY SMITH BARNEY LLC SETTLED THE MATTER FOR

\$9,500.00 ON 12/10/2013.



Disclosure 6 of 7

**Reporting Source:** Broker

**Employing firm when** activities occurred which led to the complaint:

AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

Allegations:

THE CLIENTS ALLEGED UNAUTHORIZED TRADING AND USE OF MARGIN

BORROWING OCCURRED FROM JULY 2010 THROUGH MAY 2012.

Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock) **Product Type:** 

**Alleged Damages:** \$80,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? Yes

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

**Customer Complaint Information** 

**Date Complaint Received:** 05/29/2012

**Complaint Pending?** No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 02/12/2013

**Settlement Amount:** \$55,000.00

**Individual Contribution** 

Amount:

\$0.00

Disclosure 7 of 7

**Reporting Source:** Firm

**Employing firm when** activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INC.

Allegations: CUSTOMER ALLEGES FAILURE "TO PROPERLY APPRAISE CLAIMANT OTC

INCREASING RISKS TO WHICH THE ACCOUNT WAS BEING EXPOSED."

**Product Type: Equity - OTC Alleged Damages:** \$450,000.00

**Customer Complaint Information** 



Date Complaint Received: 12/23/2002

**Complaint Pending?** No

**Status:** Arbitration/Reparation

**Status Date:** 12/23/2002

**Settlement Amount:** 

**Individual Contribution** 

Amount:

**Arbitration Information** 

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

NASD ARBITRATION NO.: 02-07487

Date Notice/Process Served: 12/23/2002

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Settled

Disposition Date: 04/28/2004

**Monetary Compensation** 

Amount:

\$350,000.00

Individual Contribution \$0.00

Amount:

Reporting Source: Broker

**Employing firm when** 

activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

SALOMON SMITH BARNEY

Allegations: BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, NEGLIGENCE, BREACH OF DUTY OF GOOD

 ${\sf FAITH\ AND\ FAIR\ DEALING,\ VIOLATION\ OF\ NASD\ \&\ NYSE\ RULES,\ BREACH}$ 

OF CONTRACT, RICO VIOLATIONS. 6/5/01-11/18/02.

Product Type: Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Alleged Damages: \$450,000.00

**Customer Complaint Information** 

Date Complaint Received: 12/23/2002

**Complaint Pending?** No



Status: Arbitration/Reparation

**Status Date:** 12/23/2002

**Settlement Amount:** 

**Individual Contribution** 

Amount:

**Arbitration Information** 

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

NASD CASE NUMBER 02-07487

Date Notice/Process Served: 12/23/2002

**Arbitration Pending?** No

**Disposition:** Settled

**Disposition Date:** 04/20/2004

**Monetary Compensation** 

Amount:

\$60,000.00

**Individual Contribution** 

Amount:

\$0.00



#### Customer Dispute - Closed-No Action / Withdrawn / Dismissed / Denied

This type of disclosure event involves (1) a consumer-initiated, investment-related arbitration or civil suit containing allegations of sales practice violations against the individual broker that was dismissed, withdrawn, or denied; or (2) a consumer-initiated, investment-related written complaint containing allegations that the broker engaged in sales practice violations resulting in compensatory damages of at least \$5,000, forgery, theft, or misappropriation, or conversion of funds or securities, which was closed without action, withdrawn, or denied.

Disclosure 1 of 1

**Reporting Source:** Firm

**Employing firm when** activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

Allegations:

MORGAN STANLEY

Client alleged, inter alia, that there were trades made in her account that was

trading in her account that was unauthorized and excessive. 2007-2010.

**Product Type:** Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

**Alleged Damages:** \$725,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

Nο

#### **Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 10/24/2022

**Complaint Pending?** No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 04/03/2023

Settlement Amount:

**Individual Contribution** 

Amount:

**Reporting Source:** Firm

**Employing firm when** activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.

CLIENT COMPLAINED IN OCTOBER 2022 ABOUT TRADES OCCURRING IN Allegations:

ACCOUNT 2007-2010. CLIENT CLAIMED THAT HER STATED OBJECTIVE WAS



"CONSERVATIVE, INCOME WITH A SMALL PERCENTAGE FOR MODERATE GROWTH," AND ALLEGED THAT THE REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVE USED DISCRETION WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION TO TRANSACT IN "UNSUITABLE SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT INSTRUMENTS" AND "OVERTRADED AND HEAVILY MARGINED" THE CLIENT'S ACCOUNT WITHOUT HER CONSENT. OCCURRENCE DATES: 1/1/2007-6/30/2010. ALLEGED LOSSES: \$725,000.

**Product Type:** Other: Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs)

Alleged Damages: \$725,000.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

## **Customer Complaint Information**

**Date Complaint Received:** 11/14/2022

**Complaint Pending?** No

Status: Closed/No Action

**Status Date:** 02/03/2023

**Settlement Amount:** 

**Individual Contribution** 

Amount:



#### **Employment Separation After Allegations**

This type of disclosure event involves a situation where the broker voluntarily resigned, was discharged, or was permitted to resign after being accused of (1) violating investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct; (2) fraud or the wrongful taking of property; or (3) failure to supervise in connection with investment-related statutes, regulations, rules, or industry standards of conduct.

Disclosure 1 of 3

Reporting Source: Firm

Employer Name: INTERNATIONAL ASSETS INVESTMENT MANAGMENTS, LLC

Termination Type: Discharged

Termination Date: 02/09/2023

Allegations: BORROWED MONEY FROM AN INDIVIDUAL WHO LATER BECAME A CLIENT

AND DID NOT INFORM THE FIRM AND/OR SEEK APPROVAL FOR THE

TRANSACTION.

Product Type: No Product

Disclosure 2 of 3

Reporting Source: Firm

**Employer Name:** DAVID A. NOYES & COMPANY

**Termination Type:** Permitted to Resign

**Termination Date:** 03/07/2019

Allegations: Stuart Pearl resigned while on heightened supervision. He had not followed his

heightened supervision plan

and would have been terminated had he not resigned.

Product Type: Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Other: ETF

Firm Statement The firm considers the termination type to be "Other". Stuart Pearl resigned while

on heightened supervision. He had not followed his heightened supervision plan

and would have been terminated had he not resigned.

Disclosure 3 of 3

Reporting Source: Firm

Employer Name: AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

Termination Type: Discharged

**Termination Date:** 06/30/2015



Allegations: REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVE WAS SUSPENDED ON JUNE 22, 2015 AND

SUBSEQUENTLY TERMINATED ON JUNE 30, 2015 FOR COMPANY POLICY

VIOLATIONS RELATED TO: THE USE OF DISCRETION IN NON-

DISCRETIONARY ACCOUNTS AND COMPLYING WITH SUPERVISION.

Product Type: Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

Mutual Fund

......

**Reporting Source:** Broker

Employer Name: AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES

**Termination Type:** Discharged **Termination Date:** 06/30/2015

Allegations: The use of discretion in non-discretionary accounts

**Product Type:** Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)

**Broker Statement** Rep disagrees with allegation of discretionary trading. Clients confirmed to firm

compliance officer that approval was provided prior to trading.

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

## **End of Report**



This page is intentionally left blank.