

BrokerCheck Report

MICHAEL RAY GRUBB

CRD# 1999653

Section Title	Page(s)
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 4
Registration and Employment History	6 - 7
Disclosure Events	8



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. <u>Imposters</u> might link to sites like BrokerCheck from <u>phishing</u> or similar scam websites, or through <u>social media</u>, trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.

About BrokerCheck®



BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

What is included in a BrokerCheck report?

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional
 qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck
 reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the
 same disclosure events mentioned above.
- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.
- Where did this information come from?
- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:
 - o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
 - o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.
- How current is this information?
- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.
- What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?
- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414.
- Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?
- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding
 to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser
 representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

MICHAEL R. GRUBB

CRD# 1999653

Currently employed by and registered with the following Firm(s):

A LPL FINANCIAL LLC
3520 W MONTAGUE AVE STE 102B
NORTH CHARLESTON, SC 29418
CRD# 6413
Registered with this firm since: 09/05/2024

B LPL FINANCIAL LLC
3520 W MONTAGUE AVE STE 102B
NORTH CHARLESTON, SC 29418
CRD# 6413
Registered with this firm since: 09/03/2024

Report Summary for this Broker



This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is registered with:

- 1 Self-Regulatory Organization
- 7 U.S. states and territories

This broker has passed:

- 1 Principal/Supervisory Exam
- 2 General Industry/Product Exams
- 2 State Securities Law Exams

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

- CETERA INVESTMENT ADVISERS LLC CRD# 105644 SCHAUMBURG, IL 06/2023 - 09/2024
- B CETERA ADVISOR NETWORKS LLC CRD# 13572 NORTH CHARLESTON, SC 06/2021 - 09/2024
- CETERA ADVISOR NETWORKS LLC CRD# 13572 EL SEGUNDO, CA 06/2021 - 06/2023

Disclosure Events

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? Yes

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Type	Count	
Regulatory Event	1	
Customer Dispute	5	

Broker Qualifications



Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This individual is currently registered with 1 SRO and is licensed in 7 U.S. states and territories through his or her employer.

Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: LPL FINANCIAL LLC

Main Office Address: 1055 LPL WAY

FORT MILL, SC 29715

Firm CRD#: **6413**

	SRO	Category	Status	Date
B	FINRA	General Securities Principal	Approved	09/03/2024
B	FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	09/03/2024
	U.S. State/ Territory	Category	Status	Date
B	Arkansas	Agent	Approved	09/03/2024
B	Florida	Agent	Approved	09/03/2024
B	Georgia	Agent	Approved	09/05/2024
B	Indiana	Agent	Approved	09/05/2024
B	Maryland	Agent	Approved	12/23/2024
B	North Carolina	Agent	Approved	09/13/2024
B	South Carolina	Agent	Approved	09/05/2024
IA	South Carolina	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	09/05/2024

Branch Office Locations

LPL FINANCIAL LLC 3520 W MONTAGUE AVE STE 102B

Broker Qualifications



Employment 1 of 1, continued NORTH CHARLESTON, SC 29418

Broker Qualifications



Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below. A passed exam or exam waiver does not permit a broker to do business without an active SRO or state registration.

This individual has passed 1 principal/supervisory exam, 2 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exan	1	Category	Date
B	General Securities Principal Examination	Series 24	05/03/2004

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam		Category	Date
B	Securities Industry Essentials Examination	SIE	10/01/2018
B	General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	10/21/1989

State Securities Law Exams

Exam		Category	Date
BIA	Uniform Combined State Law Examination	Series 66	07/08/2003
B	Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination	Series 63	12/11/1989

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

Broker Qualifications



Professional Designations

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.

Registration and Employment History



Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

Reg	istration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
IA	06/2023 - 09/2024	CETERA INVESTMENT ADVISERS LLC	105644	NORTH CHARLESTON, SC
B	06/2021 - 09/2024	CETERA ADVISOR NETWORKS LLC	13572	NORTH CHARLESTON, SC
IA	06/2021 - 06/2023	CETERA ADVISOR NETWORKS LLC	13572	NORTH CHARLESTON, SC
IA	07/2003 - 06/2021	VOYA FINANCIAL ADVISORS, INC.	2882	NORTH CHARLESTON, SC
B	04/2003 - 06/2021	VOYA FINANCIAL ADVISORS, INC.	2882	NORTH CHARLESTON, SC
B	10/1989 - 05/2003	EDWARD JONES	250	ST. LOUIS, MO

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

Employment	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
09/2024 - Present	LPL Financial LLC	Registered Representative	Υ	NORTH CHARLESTON, SC, United States
04/2003 - Present	MICHAEL GRUBB- INDEPENDENT INSURANCE AGENT	INDEPENDENT INSURANCE AGENT	Υ	NORTH CHARLESTON, SC, United States
06/2023 - 09/2024	CETERA INVESTMENT ADVISERS LLC	INVESTMENT ADVISOR REPRESENTATIVE	Υ	SCHAUMBURG, IL, United States
06/2021 - 09/2024	CETERA ADVISOR NETWORKS LLC	Registered Rep / Investment Advisor Rep	Υ	EL SEGUNDO, CA, United States
09/2014 - 06/2021	VOYA FINANCIAL ADVISORS	REGISTERED REP	Υ	NORTH CHARLESTON, SC, United States

User Guidance

Registration and Employment History



Other Business Activities

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

- 1 08/2024 Michael Grubb Notary Inv Related SC 29418 OBA Start Date: 06/01/2005.
- 2 08/2024 Integrity Financial Group DBA for LPL Business (entity for LPL business) Inv Related At reported business location(s).
- 3 08/2024 Charleston Asset Management Business Entity For Tax/Investment Purposes Only Not Inv Related 1 Hour/Month SC 29418 OBA Start Date: 05/01/2003.

Disclosure Events



What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

2. Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:

- o A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

0

3. Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:

 As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

0

4. There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- o A disclosure event may have a status of pending, on appeal, or final.
 - A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- o A final event generally has a disposition of adjudicated, settled or otherwise resolved.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Pending	Final	On Appeal
Regulatory Event	0	1	0
Customer Dispute	0	5	N/A



Disclosure Event Details

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a discloure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Regulatory - Final

This type of disclosure event may involve (1) a final, formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, self-regulatory organization, federal regulatory such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, foreign financial regulatory body) for a violation of investment-related rules or regulations; or (2) a revocation or suspension of a broker's authority to act as an attorney, accountant, or federal contractor.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Bv:

Reporting Source: Broker

Regulatory Action Initiated

STATE OF NEW MEXICO SECURITIES DIVISION

Sanction(s) Sought:

Civil and Administrative Penalt(ies) /Fine(s)

Other Sanction(s) Sought:

Date Initiated: 01/27/2000

Docket/Case Number: 2000-99-038

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the

regulatory action:

EDWARD JONES

Product Type: Debt - Government

Other Product Type(s):

Allegations: ALLEGATIONS THAT MR. GRUBB MAY HAVE DISCUSSED AN INVESTMENT

OPPORTUNITY WITH A NEW MEXICO RESIDENT PRIOR TO HIS BEING

LICENSED IN NEW MEXICO.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Consent

Resolution Date: 06/29/2000



Sanctions Ordered: Monetary/Fine \$2,500.00

Other Sanctions Ordered:

Sanction Details: MICHAEL GRUBB AGREED TO PAY A CIVIL PENALTY OF \$2,500.00.

Broker Statement ON JUNE 21, 2000, EDWARD JONES AND MICHAEL R. GRUBB, A

REGISTERED REPRESENTATIVE, ENTERED INTO A CONSENT AGREEMENT WITH THE SECURITIES DIVISION OF THE NEW MEXICO SECRETARY OF STATE (ORDER NO. 2000-99-038) CONCERNING ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF CERTAIN LICENSING PROVISIONS OF THE NEW MEXICO SECURITIES ACT OF 1986. WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE ALLEGATIONS, EDWARD JONES AGREED TO PAY A CIVIL PENALTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,000.00 AND INVESTIGATION COSTS OF \$500.00. MICHAEL GRUBB AGREED TO PAY A CIVIL PENALTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,500.00. THE SECURITIES DIVISION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO ISSUED AN ORDER JUNE 29, 2000 WHICH

STATED THE TERMS OF THE CONSENT AGREEMENT.

A COPY OF THE FINAL ORDER AND CONSENT AGREEMENT WILL BE

SUBMITTED.



Customer Dispute - Settled

This type of disclosure event involves a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit containing allegations of sale practice violations against the broker that resulted in a monetary settlement to the customer.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source: Regulator

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

EDWARD JONES

activities occurred whic

to the complaint:

Allegations:

SUITABILITY, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, NEGLIGENCE AND GROSS

NEGLIGENCE

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Alleged Damages: \$50,000.00

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim filed with and Docket/Case

NASD - CASE #05-02575

filed wit

Date Notice/Process Served: 05/16/2005

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 05/31/2006

Disposition Detail: CLAIMANT SETTLED ALL CLAIMS AGAINST RESPONDENT. ALL CLAIMS

AGAINST RESPONDENTS ARE DENIED IN THEIR ENTIRETY.

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

EDWARD JONES

Allegations:

ALLEGATIONS OF UNSUITABILITY, UNAUTHORIZED TRADING, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY AND NEGLIGENCE IN REGARD TO INVESTMENTS MADE

IN TWO ACCOUNTS THAT WERE OPENED TO FUND ****'S AND ***'S COLLEGE. INITIALLY, THE ACCOUNTS WERE INVESTED IN LIBERTY COLLEGE U.S. GROWTH AND INCOME FUND AND SELIGMAN. CLAIMANT ALLEGES THAT IN FEBRUARY OF 2000, THE IR, WITHOUT CONSULTING CLAIMANT, SOLD THE SHARES OF LIBERTY FUND AND INVESTED THE PROCEEDS IN HENDERSON GLOBAL FUND SERIES, HENDERSON



INTERNATIONAL, SELIGMAN GLOBAL FUND SERIES AND HENDERSON GLOBAL TECH FUND. (AMOUNT CLAIMED: \$51,746 PLUS PUNITIVE

DAMAGES, INTEREST, ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS)

Product Type: Other

Alleged Damages: \$51,746.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:

Complaint Pending?

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date:

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

Date Notice/Process Served: 06/06/2005

Arbitration Pending?

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 05/31/2006

Monetary Compensation

Amount:

\$9,999.00

No

Individual Contribution \$9,999.00

Amount:

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

EDWARD JONES

NASD; CASE NO: 05-2575

to the complaint:

Allegations: THE CUSTOMER PURCHASED VARIOUS MUTUAL FUNDS FROM MR GRUBB

BEGINNING IN 1992 FOR HER SONS COLLEGE FUND ACCOUNTS. THE CLIENT CLAIMS THAT THE FUNDS WERE OVERLY AGRESSIVE. THE CLAIM



ALLEGE UNSUITABILITY, NEGLIGENCE, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY AND

SEEKS DAMAGES OF \$51.746.

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Alleged Damages: \$51,746.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 06/03/2005

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Arbitration/Reparation

Status Date: 06/03/2005

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/Reparation Claim

filed with and Docket/Case

No.:

NASD DISPUTE RESOLUTION CASE NUMBER 05-02575

Date Notice/Process Served: 06/03/2005

Arbitration Pending? No

Disposition: Settled

Disposition Date: 06/22/2006

Monetary Compensation

Amount:

\$9,999.99

Individual Contribution

Amount:

\$0.00

Broker Statement IN EXCHANGE FOR A RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS, MR GRUBB SETTLED THIS

MATTER WITH THE CLIENT WITHOUT ANY ADMISSION TO LIABILITY OR WRONGDOING. THE DECISION TO SETTLE WAS SOLELY BASED ON A BUSINESS DECISION TO AVOID A LENTHY AND COSTLY LEGAL ACTION.



Customer Dispute - Closed-No Action / Withdrawn / Dismissed / Denied

This type of disclosure event involves (1) a consumer-initiated, investment-related arbitration or civil suit containing allegations of sales practice violations against the individual broker that was dismissed, withdrawn, or denied; or (2) a consumer-initiated, investment-related written complaint containing allegations that the broker engaged in sales practice violations resulting in compensatory damages of at least \$5,000, forgery, theft, or misappropriation, or conversion of funds or securities, which was closed without action, withdrawn, or denied.

Disclosure 1 of 4

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

Allegations:

ING FINANCIAL PARTNERS, INC.

CLIENT IS DISAPPOINTED WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF HER

INVESTMENTS, AND SHE ALLEGES THAT HER REPRESENTATIVE IS CONTENT TO LET HER INVESTMENTS SUSTAIN MARKET LOSS.

Product Type: Mutual Fund

Alleged Damages: \$0.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not

exact):

THE CLIENT DOES NOT ALLEGE AN EXACT DOLLAR AMOUNT, AND THE FIRM CANNOT MAKE A GOOD FAITH DETERMINATION THAT THE DAMAGES

FROM THE ALLEGED CONDUCT WOULD BE LESS THAN \$5000.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 07/17/2012

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 11/15/2012

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Broker Statement THE FIRM INVESTIGATED THE CLIENT'S CONCERNS AND FOUND THEM TO

BE WITHOUT MERIT.



Disclosure 2 of 4

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

EDWARD JONES

Allegations:

THE CLIENTS TRANSFERRED THEIR ACCOUNTS FROM ANOTHER EDWARD

JONES' BRANCH OFFICE TO

MIKE GRUBB'S OFFICE IN EARY 2000. THE CLIENTS ALLEGED THAT IN

JANUARY 2000 MR. GRUBB

INITIATED A SELL OFF OF SOME OF THEIR MORE CONSERVATIVE INCOME

INVESTMENTS AND

PURCHASED AGGRESSIVE STOCKS AND MUTUAL FUNDS, WHICH

SUBSEQUENTLY DECLINED IN VALUE

THROUGHOUT 2000 AND 2001. THEY QUESTIONED THE SUITABILITY OF

THESE INVESTMENTS FOR

SENIOR CITIZENS.

Product Type: Other

Alleged Damages: \$5,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 11/08/2001

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 11/28/2001

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Broker Statement MIKE GRUBB ADVISED THAT THE CLIENTS TRANSFERRED THEIR

ACCOUNTS TO HIS OFFICE, BECAUSE

THEY WANTED A CLOSER REPRESENTATIVE AND THEY WANTED

SOMEONE WHO WAS NOT SO

CONSERVATIVE. THE CLIENTS PURCHASED APPROXIMATELY \$1500.00 OF

THE SELIGMAN GLOBAL

TECH FUND IN 1995, AND AT THE TIME THEY PURCHASED THE VAN

KAMPEN FUNDS, THE SHARE

PRICE OF THE GLOBAL TECH WOULD HAVE INCREASED IN VALUE BY

MORE THAN 400% IN FOUR

YEARS. THE CLIENTS RECEIVED THE TRADE CONFIRMATIONS AND



EDWARD JONES STATEMENTS

FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS DISCLOSING THE VALUES OF THESE FUNDS.

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE

THAT THESE FUNDS WERE IMPROPERLY SOLD.

Disclosure 3 of 4

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:

EDWARD JONES

Allegations:

CLIENT HASD \$55,000 IN REDEMPTION PROCEEDS FROM LASALLE CD BEING CALLED. HE STATES THE IR SUGGESTED THE FNMA BONDS AT 6.4%. HE STATES HE TOLD THE IR HE DID NOT WANT ANY LONG TERM BONDS. HE ALLEGES THE IR STATED THE FNMA IS A 4 YEAR BOND. THE BOND MATURES IN 2029. CLIENT REQUESTS REFUND OF ORIG. INVESTMENT. AT THIS TIME. THE FNMA IS DOWN APPROX. \$6600.

Product Type: Debt - Government

Alleged Damages: \$6,600.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 08/27/1999

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 09/21/1999

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Broker Statement IR STATES THE CLIENT EXPRESSED A DESIRE TO OBTAIN INCOME AT THE

BEST POSSIBLE RATE WHILE RETAINING HIGH QIUALITY. IR STATES HE PRESENTED THE FNMA DISCUSSED ITS 30 YEAR MATURITY, THE FACT THAT IT MAY BE CALLED IN 4-5 YEARS AND MARKET FLUCTUATION. OUR

RECORDS INDICATE THE CLIENT CONTACTED OUR CUSTOMER RELATIONS DEPT ON 6/28/99 AND EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE MATURITY DATE AFTER A REDUCTION IN MARKET VALUE HAD OCCURRED.

CLAIM DENIED.



Disclosure 4 of 4

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

Allegations: SON AND POA FOR ACCOUNT OWNER QUESTIONS THE

WITHDRAWALS MADE FROM HIS MOTHER'S ACCOUNT. CLAIMS THE IR RECEIVED INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE POA'S BROTHER, ALSO THE SON OF THE ACCOUNT OWNER, WHO HE CLAIMS HAD NO OFFICIAL AUTHORITY

OVER

THE ACCOUNT. REQUESTS REIMBURSEMENT FOR ANY AMOUNTS

WITHDRAWN

AT HIS BROTHER'S REQUEST.

Product Type:

Alleged Damages:

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 01/28/1998

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date:

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Broker Statement IR CLAIMED THAT REQUESTS TO WIRE FUNDS FROM THE

CUSTOMER'S ACCOUNT TO THE CUSTOMER'S ACCOUNT AT A BANK WERE RECEIVED DIRECTLY FROM THE CUSTOMER, NOT THE POA'S BROTHER. THE MUTUAL FUNDS WERE ALSO LIQUIDATED AT THE CUSTOMER'S

REQUEST. CLAIMED DENIED.

Not Provided

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.