

BrokerCheck Report

MARK PETER ERLICH

CRD# 2284613

Section Title	Page(s)
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 3
Registration and Employment History	5
Disclosure Events	6



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. <u>Imposters</u> might link to sites like BrokerCheck from <u>phishing</u> or similar scam websites, or through <u>social media</u>, trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.

About BrokerCheck®



BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

What is included in a BrokerCheck report?

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional
 qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck
 reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the
 same disclosure events mentioned above.
- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.
- Where did this information come from?
- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:
 - o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
 - o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.
- How current is this information?
- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.
- What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?
- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414.
- Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?
- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding
 to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser
 representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.

www.finra.org/brokercheck User Guidance

MARK P. ERLICH

CRD# 2284613

Currently employed by and registered with the following Firm(s):

BUCKMAN, BUCKMAN & REID, INC.

44 church st. little silver, NJ 07739 CRD# 23407

Registered with this firm since: 10/22/2010

Report Summary for this Broker



This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is registered with:

- 2 Self-Regulatory Organizations
- 6 U.S. states and territories

This broker has passed:

- 0 Principal/Supervisory Exams
- 2 General Industry/Product Exams
- 1 State Securities Law Exam

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

B WESTROCK ADVISORS, INC.

CRD# 114338 NEW YORK, NY 02/2008 - 10/2010

- B MAXIM GROUP LLC CRD# 120708 NEW YORK, NY 04/2003 - 02/2008
- B FAHNESTOCK & CO. INC. CRD# 249 NEW YORK, NY 01/2002 - 04/2003

Disclosure Events

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? Yes

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Туре	Count	
Regulatory Event	1	
Termination	1	

Broker Qualifications



Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This individual is currently registered with 2 SROs and is licensed in 6 U.S. states and territories through his or her employer.

Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: BUCKMAN, BUCKMAN & REID, INC.

Main Office Address: 44 CHURCH ST.

LITTLE SILVER, NJ 07739

Firm CRD#: **23407**

	SRO	Category	Status	Date
B	FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	10/22/2010
B	Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/18/2011
	U.S. State/ Territory	Category	Status	Date
B	California	Agent	Approved	10/22/2010
B	Florida	Agent	Approved	08/10/2016
B	Georgia	Agent	Approved	08/24/2016
B	Minnesota	Agent	Approved	03/18/2013
B	New Jersey	Agent	Approved	01/10/2013
B	New York	Agent	Approved	10/22/2010

Branch Office Locations

BUCKMAN, BUCKMAN & REID, INC.

44 church st.

little silver, NJ 07739

www.finra.org/brokercheck

Broker Qualifications



Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below. A passed exam or exam waiver does not permit a broker to do business without an active SRO or state registration.

This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 2 general industry/product exams, and 1 state securities law exam.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam		Category	Date
	No information reported.		

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam		Category	Date
B	Securities Industry Essentials Examination	SIE	10/01/2018
В	General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	12/09/1992

State Securities Law Exams

Exam	ı	Category	Date
В	Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination	Series 63	12/18/1992

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

Broker Qualifications



Professional Designations

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.

Registration and Employment History



Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

Regi	istration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
B	02/2008 - 10/2010	WESTROCK ADVISORS, INC.	114338	NEW YORK, NY
B	04/2003 - 02/2008	MAXIM GROUP LLC	120708	NEW YORK, NY
B	01/2002 - 04/2003	FAHNESTOCK & CO. INC.	249	NEW YORK, NY
B	07/1993 - 01/2002	JOSEPHTHAL & CO., INC.	3227	NEW YORK, NY
B	12/1992 - 07/1993	D. H. BLAIR & CO., INC.	6833	NEW YORK, NY

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

Employment	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
10/2010 - Present	BUCKMAN BUCKMAN & REID INC.	REGISTER REPRESENTATIVE	Υ	40 WALL STREET, NY, United States

Other Business Activities

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.

Disclosure Events



What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

2. Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:

- o A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

3. Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:

 As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

4. There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- o A disclosure event may have a status of pending, on appeal, or final.
 - A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- o A final event generally has a disposition of adjudicated, settled or otherwise resolved.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Pending	Final	On Appeal
Regulatory Event	0	1	0
Termination	N/A	1	N/A



Disclosure Event Details

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a discloure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Regulatory - Final

This type of disclosure event may involve (1) a final, formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, self-regulatory organization, federal regulatory such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, foreign financial regulatory body) for a violation of investment-related rules or regulations; or (2) a revocation or suspension of a broker's authority to act as an attorney, accountant, or federal contractor.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Regulatory Action Initiated Regulator FINRA

By:

Sanction(s) Sought: Other: N/A

Date Initiated: 12/30/2010

Docket/Case Number: 2008012634701

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:

MAXIM GROUP LLC

Product Type: Other: STOCK CERTIFICATES

Allegations: NASD RULE 2110: WHILE REGISTERED WITH A MEMBER FIRM AND WITH

THE KNOWLEDGE OF HIS FIRM SUPERVISORS, ERLICH TRAVELED TO SINGAPORE TO MEET WITH INDIVIDUALS WHO OWNED RESTRICTED SHARES OF A US-BASED COMPANY THAT TRADED ON THE OTCBB. WHILE IN SINGAPORE, ERLICH TOLD THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS THAT HE COULD OPEN FIRM ACCOUNTS FOR THEM, AND HELP THEM LIFT THE

SHARE RESTRICTIONS AND SELL THE SHARES. THE SINGAPORE

SHAREHOLDERS WERE ASSOCIATED WITH A SINGAPORE COMPANY THAT PURCHASED LEASES IN THE COMPANY'S PROPERTY AND THROUGH WHICH THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS ACQUIRED THEIR RESTRICTED COMPANY SHARES. THE SINGAPORE COMPANY FACILITATED ERLICH'S



TRIP TO SINGAPORE AND HIS PRESENTATION THERE. BETWEEN OCTOBER 2006 AND HIS DEPARTURE FROM THE FIRM IN FEBRUARY 2008. ERLICH (A) RECEIVED RESTRICTED STOCK CERTIFICATES BELONGING TO SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS AT EITHER HIS HOME OR WORK ADDRESS. AND (B) OPENED FIRM ACCOUNTS FOR AT LEAST 27 OF THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS. WHILE HE WAITED FOR ACCOUNT PAPERWORK AND CUSTOMER IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENTATION TO ARRIVE AND FOR THE ACCOUNTS TO BE OPENED, ERLICH KEPT THE SHARE CERTIFICATES HE RECEIVED AT HIS HOME OR IN HIS FILE CABINET AT THE FIRM'S OFFICES. AFTER SOME OF THE 27 ACCOUNTS WERE OPENED HE ROUTED RESTRICTED STOCK CERTIFICATES THROUGH THE FIRM'S OPERATIONS STAFF. IT TOOK AT LEAST A MONTH AND SOMETIMES LONGER FOR THE ACCOUNTS TO BE OPENED. THE FIRM RECEIVED COMPLAINTS FROM SOME OF THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS, AND FROM THE AFOREMENTIONED SINGAPORE COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS, CONCERNING ERLICH AND THE PACE AT WHICH THE FIRM ACCOUNTS WERE BEING OPENED AND THE RESTRICTED SHARES WERE BEING SOLD. THE COMPLAINTS NOTED THAT ERLICH POSSESSED THE STOCK CERTIFICATES BELONGING TO THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS. ON OR ABOUT JANUARY 30, 2008, THE FIRM ASKED ERLICH IF HE PERSONALLY POSSESSED ANY MORE OF THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS' RESTRICTED STOCK CERTIFICATES. ERLICH REPLIED THAT HE DID NOT BUT ON OR ABOUT FEBRUARY 20, 2008, SHORTLY AFTER ERLICH RESIGNED FROM THE FIRM, AND IN THE FACE OF ADDITIONAL COMPLAINTS RECEIVED BY THE FIRM FROM THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS, ERLICH RETURNED TO THE AFOREMENTIONED SINGAPORE COMPANY AT LEAST 50 STOCK CERTIFICATES THAT HE POSSESSED. BY FAILING TO DISCLOSE TO HIS FIRM THAT HE PERSONALLY POSSESSED STOCK CERTIFICATES BELONGING TO PROSPECTIVE FIRM CUSTOMERS AND DETAILS CONCERNING SUCH SHARES, ERLICH: A. PREVENTED HIS FIRM FROM COMPLYING WITH SEC RULE 15C3-3 IN THAT THE FIRM, WITHOUT KNOWING OF THE SECURITIES HE POSSESSED, FAILED TO: (I) BRING THE SECURITIES UNDER POSSESSION OR CONTROL AS REQUIRED BY THE RULE. AND (II) COMPUTE AND MAINTAIN IN ITS RESERVE BANK ACCOUNT SUFFICIENT CASH AND/OR QUALIFIED SECURITIES AS REQUIRED BY THE RULE; AND B. PREVENTED THE FIRM FROM COMPLYING WITH BOOKS AND RECORDS RULES WHICH REQUIRED THAT FIRMS RECORD THE RECEIPT OF SECURITIES. IN ADDITION, ERLICH USED A PERSONAL EMAIL ACCOUNT TO CORRESPOND WITH THE AFOREMENTIONED SINGAPORE COMPANY CONCERNING, INTER ALIA, THE SINGAPORE SHAREHOLDERS AND THEIR PROSPECTIVE FIRM ACCOUNTS. WHILE ERLICH COURTESY COPIED HIS FIRM EMAIL ADDRESS ON A FEW OF THE EMAILS HE SENT FROM HIS PERSONAL EMAIL ACCOUNT. HE FAILED TO COPY OR FORWARD ANY OF THESE EMAILS TO HIS FIRM MANAGERS. HIS FIRM DID NOT PERMIT THE



USE OF NON-FIRM EMAIL ACCOUNTS FOR COMMUNICATIONS RELATED TO FIRM BUSINESS. BY USING HIS PERSONAL EMAIL ACCOUNT FOR FIRM-RELATED BUSINESS AND NOT COPYING OR FORWARDING SUCH EMAILS TO HIS FIRM, ERLICH PREVENTED HIS FIRM FROM DISCHARGING ITS SUPERVISORY OBLIGATIONS UNDER NASD RULE 3010.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

No

Resolution Date: 12/30/2010

Sanctions Ordered: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Suspension

If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to supervise? No

(1) willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or to have been unable to comply with any provision of such Act, rule or regulation?



(2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or

(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities **Rulemaking Board?**

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Suspension

Capacities Affected: ALL CAPACITIES

Duration: SEVEN MONTHS

Start Date: 02/07/2011

End Date: 09/06/2011



Monetary Sanction 1 of 1

Monetary Related Sanction: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Total Amount: \$15.000.00

Portion Levied against

individual:

\$15,000.00

Payment Plan:

Is Payment Plan Current:

Date Paid by individual: 01/24/2013

Was any portion of penalty

waived?

No

Amount Waived:

Regulator Statement WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE FINDINGS. ERLICH CONSENTED

> TO THE DESCRIBED SANCTIONS AND TO THE ENTRY OF FINDINGS: THEREFORE HE IS FINED \$15,000 AND SUSPENDED FROM ASSOCIATION WITH ANY FINRA MEMBER IN ANY CAPACITY FOR SEVEN MONTHS. THE SUSPENSION WILL BE IN EFFECT FROM FEBRUARY 7, 2011, THROUGH

SEPTEMBER 6, 2011. FINE PAID IN FULL ON 1/24/2013.

Reporting Source: Broker **FINRA**

Regulatory Action Initiated

Sanction(s) Sought:

By:

Other: N/A

Date Initiated: 12/30/2010

Docket/Case Number: 2008012634701

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:

MAXIM GROUP LLC

Product Type: Other: STOCK CERTIFICATES

Allegations: NASD RULE 2110 RECIEVED CUSTOMER RESTRICTED STOCK

> CERTIFICATES AT HIS HOME OR OFFICE AND PERSONALLY POSSESSED THEM WITHOUT TIMELY TURNING THEM INTO THE FIRM AND USED HIS PERSONAL EMAIL ADDRESS FOR FIRM RELATED BUSINESS WHICH PREVENTED HIS FIRM FROM DISCHARGING ITS SUPERVISORY



OBLIGATIONS UNDER NASD RULE 3010.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

No

Resolution Date: 12/30/2010

Sanctions Ordered: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Suspension

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Suspension

Capacities Affected: ALL CAPACITIES

Duration: SEVEN MOTHS

Start Date: 02/07/2011 **End Date:** 09/06/2011

Monetary Sanction 1 of 1

Monetary Related Sanction: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Total Amount: \$15,000.00

Portion Levied against

individual:

\$15,000.00

Payment Plan:

Is Payment Plan Current:

Date Paid by individual:

Was any portion of penalty

waived?

No

Amount Waived:

Broker Statement PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE AWC I NEITHER ADMITTED NOR DENIED

THE ALLEGATIONS ABOVE. THIS SETTLEMENT WAS THE RESULT OF

VIGOROUS NEGOTIATIONS.I TOOK INTO CONSIDERATION THE NATURE OF

www.finra.org/brokercheck



THE CHARGES, THE AVAILABLE FACTS AND COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THESE PROCEEDINGS.



Employment Separation After Allegations

This type of disclosure event involves a situation where the broker voluntarily resigned, was discharged, or was permitted to resign after being accused of (1) violating investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct; (2) fraud or the wrongful taking of property; or (3) failure to supervise in connection with investment-related statutes, regulations, rules, or industry standards of conduct.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source: Firm

Employer Name: MAXIM GROUP LLC

Termination Type: Voluntary Resignation

Termination Date: 02/08/2008

Allegations: SEVERAL MAXIM GROUP CLIENTS AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS UNKNOWN TO

THE FIRM (NON-MAXIM GROUP CLIENTS) ALLEGED THAT THEY HAD GIVEN

MARK ERLICH THEIR SHARE CERTIFICATES WHICH WERE NEVER

DEPOSITED INTO ACCOUNTS HELD AT THE FIRM.

Product Type: Equity - OTC

Other Product Types:

Firm Statement AFTER SEVERAL MAXIM GROUP CLIENTS AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS

UNKNOWN TO THE FIRM (NON-MAXIM GROUP CLIENTS) CLAIMED THAT THEY HAD SUBMITTED STOCK CERTIFICATES TO MARK ERLICH, THE FIRM

OPENED AN INVESTIGATION AND LEARNED THAT MR. ERLICH HAD

RECEIVED CERTIFICATES AT HIS HOME ADDRESS AND FAILED TO DELIVER THEM TO THE FIRM FOR DEPOSIT IN A TIMELY FASHION OR AT ALL. MR.

ERLICH RESIGNED PRIOR TO THE FIRM'S OPENING OF THE

INVESTIGATION.

Reporting Source: Broker

Employer Name: MAXIM GROUP LLC

Termination Type: Voluntary Resignation

Termination Date: 02/08/2008

Allegations: SEVERAL MAXIM GROUP CLIENTS AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS UNKNOWN TO

THE FIRM (NON-MAXIM GROUP CLIENTS) ALLEGED THAT THEY HAD GIVEN

MARK ERLICH THEIR SHARE CERTIFICATES WHICH WERE NEVER

DEPOSITED INTO ACCOUNTS HELD AT THE FIRM.

Product Type: Equity - OTC

Other Product Types:



Broker Statement

AFTER SEVERAL MAXIM GROUP CLIENTS AND OTHER INDIVIDUALS UNKNOWN TO THE FIRM (NON-MAXIM GROUP CLIENTS CLAIMED THAT THEY HAD SUBMITTED STOCK CERTIFICATES TO MARK ERLICH, THE FIRM OPENED AN INVESTIGATION AND LEARNED THAT MR. ERLICH HAD RECEIVED CERTIFICATES AT HIS HOME ADDRESS AND FAILED TO DELIVER THEM TO THE FIRM FOR DEPOSIT IN A TIMELY FASHION OR AT ALL. MR. ERLICH RESIGNED PRIOR TO THE FIRM'S OPENING OF THE INVESTIGATION.

www.finra.org/brokercheck

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.