

BrokerCheck Report

ROBERT GLENN BARD

CRD# 2447501

Section Title	Page(s)
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 3
Registration and Employment History	5
Disclosure Events	6



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. <u>Imposters</u> might link to sites like BrokerCheck from <u>phishing</u> or similar scam websites, or through <u>social media</u>, trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.

About BrokerCheck®



BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

What is included in a BrokerCheck report?

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional
 qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck
 reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the
 same disclosure events mentioned above.
- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.
- Where did this information come from?
- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:
 - o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
 - o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.
- How current is this information?
- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.
- What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?
- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414.
- Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?
- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding
 to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser
 representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.

www.finra.org/brokercheck User Guidance

ROBERT G. BARD

CRD# 2447501

This broker is not currently registered.

Report Summary for this Broker



This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is not currently registered.

This broker has passed:

- 1 Principal/Supervisory Exam
- 2 General Industry/Product Exams
- 2 State Securities Law Exams

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

- BLUE VASE SECURITIES, LLC CRD# 46765 WASHINGTON, PA 09/2004 - 12/2004
- B CENTAURUS FINANCIAL, INC. CRD# 30833 ANAHEIM, CA 06/2000 - 09/2004
- B AXA ADVISORS, LLC CRD# 6627 NEW YORK, NY 02/1994 - 07/2000

Disclosure Events

This broker has been involved in one or more disclosure events involving certain final criminal matters, regulatory actions, civil judicial proceedings, or arbitrations or civil litigations.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? Yes

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Туре	Count	
Regulatory Event	3	
Criminal	1	
Civil Event	1	

Investment Adviser Representative Information

The information below represents the individual's record as a broker. For details on this individual's record as an investment adviser representative, visit the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure website at

https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

Broker Qualifications



Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs), states and U.S. territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each registration, and the date on which the registration became effective. This section also provides, for each firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This broker is not currently registered.

Broker Qualifications



Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below. A passed exam or exam waiver does not permit a broker to do business without an active SRO or state registration.

This individual has passed 1 principal/supervisory exam, 2 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam		Category	Date
B	Investment Company Products/Variable Contracts Principal Examination	Series 26	12/20/1996

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam		Category	Date
В	General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	09/22/1999
В	Investment Company Products/Variable Contracts Representative Examination	Series 6	02/24/1994

State Securities Law Exams

Exam		Category	Date
IA	Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination	Series 65	10/08/1999
В	Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination	Series 63	02/24/1994

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

Broker Qualifications



Professional Designations

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.

www.finra.org/brokercheck

Registration and Employment History



Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following securities firms:

Reg	istration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
B	09/2004 - 12/2004	BLUE VASE SECURITIES, LLC	46765	WASHINGTON, PA
B	06/2000 - 09/2004	CENTAURUS FINANCIAL, INC.	30833	ANAHEIM, CA
B	02/1994 - 07/2000	AXA ADVISORS, LLC	6627	NEW YORK, NY
B	02/1994 - 01/2000	THE EQUITABLE LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY OF THE UNITED STATES	4039	NEW YORK, NY

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

Employment	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
12/2004 - Present	VISION SPECIALIST GROUP LLC	MANAGING MEMBER	Υ	WARFORDSBURG, PA, United States

www.finra.org/brokercheck

Disclosure Events



What you should know about reported disclosure events:

- 1. Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:
 - As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, their employing firms, and regulators. When more than one source reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions are separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of regulatory disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Final	On Appeal
Regulatory Event	3	0
Criminal	1	0
Civil Event	1	0



Disclosure Event Details

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Regulatory - Final

This type of disclosure event involves a final, formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, self-regulatory organization, federal regulator such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, foreign financial regulatory body) for a violation of investment-related rules or regulations.

Disclosure 1 of 3

Reporting Source: Regulator

Regulatory Action Initiated

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

By:

Sanction(s) Sought: Other: N/A

Date Initiated: 06/10/2014

Docket/Case Number: 3-15921

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:

VISION SPECIALIST GROUP, LLC

Product Type: CD

Money Market Fund

Other: BOND

Allegations: IA RELEASE 40-3850, JUNE 10, 2014; THE SEC DEEMS IT APPROPRIATE AND

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST THAT PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS BE, AND HEREBY ARE, INSTITUTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 203(F) OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940, AGAINST ROBERT G. BARD. IN THE CIVIL ACTION NUMBER 1:09-CV-1473, IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA, AN ORDER WAS ENTERED PERMANENTLY ENJOINING BARD AND HIS FIRM FROM FUTURE VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 17(A) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, SECTION 10(B) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, SECTION

10(B) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 AND RULE 10B-5 THEREUNDER, AND SECTIONS 206(1) AND 206(2) OF THE ADVISERS ACT, AND ORDERING BARD AND THE FIRM JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY LIABLE FOR DISGORGEMENT AND PREJUDGMENT INTEREST. THE COURT ORDERED THAT BARD AND THE FIRM WERE ALSO JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY LIABLE FOR CIVIL PENALTIES. THE COURT GRANTED A MOTION FOR FINAL JUDGMENT, INCORPORATING THE ORDERS FOR

INJUNCTION, DISGORGEMENT, AND CIVIL PENALTIES.

Current Status: Final



Resolution: Order

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct? No

Resolution Date: 07/24/2014

Sanctions Ordered: Bar (Permanent)

If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to supervise? No

(1) willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or to have been unable to comply with any provision of such Act, rule or regulation?



(2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or

(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the **Securities Exchange Act of** 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the **Investment Company Act of** 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board?

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Bar (Permanent)

Capacities Affected: ALL CAPACITIES

Duration:

Start Date: 07/24/2014

End Date:



Regulator Statement

IN VIEW OF THE ALLEGATIONS MADE BY THE DIVISION OF ENFORCEMENT, THE COMMISSION DEEMS IT NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST THAT PUBLIC ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS BE INSTITUTED.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SHALL ISSUE AN INITIAL DECISION NO LATER THAN 210 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF SERVICE OF THIS ORDER.

SEC ADMIN RELEASE 640, JULY 24, 2014: THE SEC INSTITUTED THIS PROCEEDING WITH AN ORDER INSTITUTING PROCEEDINGS (OIP) ON JUNE 10, 2014, PURSUANT TO SECTION 203(F) OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940. THE OIP ALLEGES THAT BARD WAS ENJOINED AGAINST VIOLATION OF THE ANTIFRAUD PROVISIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS. HE WAS SERVED WITH THE OIP IN ACCORDANCE WITH 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(A)(2)(I) ON JUNE 13, 2014. TO DATE, HE HAS FAILED TO FILE AN ANSWER TO THE OIP, DUE WITHIN TWENTY DAYS OF SERVICE.

ACCORDINGLY, HE HAS FAILED TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE TO DEFEND THE PROCEEDING WITHIN THE MEANING OF 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(A)(2). THEREFORE, BARD IS IN DEFAULT, AND THE JUDGE FINDS THAT THE

ALLEGATIONS IN THE OIP ARE TRUE.

Disclosure 2 of 3

Reporting Source: Regulator

Regulatory Action Initiated

By:

WEST VIRGINIA SECURITIES COMMISSION

Sanction(s) Sought: Bar

Cease and Desist

Date Initiated: 10/13/2010

Docket/Case Number: CASE NO: 09-2088

URL for Regulatory Action:

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:

VISION SPECIALIST GROUP, LLC

Product Type: CD

Money Market Fund

Other: INTEREST-BEARING ACCOUNTS

Allegations: RESPONDENT ROBERT GLENN BARD IS IN VIOLATION OF WEST VIRGINIA

CODE § 32-1-102 ET SEQ. BY FAILING TO DISCLOSE THE TRUE REASON FOR HIS TERMINATION FROM HIS FIRST TWO BROKERS, BY ADVISING



CLIENTS TO SHRED THEIR ACCOUNT STATEMENTS ON RESPONDENT VISION SPECIALIST'S WEBSITE. BY FABRICATING ACCOUNT. STATEMENTS, FORGING DOCUMENTS AND EFFECTUATING UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS. RESPONDENT BARD OPERATED AS A FRAUD OR DECEIT ON HIS CLIENTS IN VIOLATION OF WEST VIRGINIA CODE §32-1-101. ET SEQ. BY FORGING DOCUMENTS AND EFFECTUATING UNAUTHORIZED TRANSACTIONS. RESPONDENT BARD EXERCISED DISCRETIONARY POWER IN PLACING AN ORDER FOR THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF SECURITIES FOR THE ACCOUNT OF A CUSTOMER WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING WRITTEN DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY AND IS IN VIOLATION OF WEST VIRGINIA ADMINISTRATIVE RULE 13.05(1). BY INVESTING IN HIGH-RISK STOCKS AGAINST THE WISHES OF HIS CLIENTS, AND BY FORGING DOCUMENTS, RESPONDENT BARD PLACED ORDERS TO PURCHASE AND SELL FOR THE ACCOUNTS OF CUSTOMERS WITHOUT AUTHORITY TO DO SO AND IS IN VIOLATION OF WEST VIRGINIA ADMINISTRATIVE RULE 13. 05(4). BY PROVIDING CLIENTS WITH FABRICATED ACCOUNT SUMMARIES, AND BY ALTERING REAL INFORMATION ON EXISTING BROKERAGE ACCOUNT ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS IN ORDER TO CREATE FALSE BROKERAGE ACCOUNT STATEMENTS, RESPONDENT BARD FAILED TO MAKE AND KEEP CURRENT ACCURATE BOOKS AND RECORDS RELATING TO INVESTMENT ADVISORY SERVICES AND ARE IN VIOLATION OF WEST VIRGINIA ADMINISTRATIVE RULE 13.03(1) ET.SEQ.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Order

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

Yes

Resolution Date: 10/28/2010

Sanctions Ordered: Cease and Desist

Regulator Statement IT IS ORDERED, THAT RE

IT IS ORDERED, THAT RESPONDENT SUMMARILY CEASE AND DESIST FROM SOLICITING AND OFFERING TO SELL THE AFORESAID SECURITIES, EITHER

DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY THROUGH OFFICERS, DIRECTORS,

EMPLOYEES, REPRESENTATIVE AGENT, AFFILIATES, SUCCESSORS OR ASSIGNS. UNLESS COMPLIANCE AND THE ACT HAS BEEN ACHIEVED AND

UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COMMISSIONER.

Disclosure 3 of 3



Reporting Source: Regulator **Regulatory Action Initiated** NASD

By:

Sanction(s) Sought:

Other Sanction(s) Sought:

Date Initiated: 10/06/2005

Docket/Case Number: E9A2004043301

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the

regulatory action:

CENTAURUS FINANCIAL, INC.

Product Type: No Product

Other Product Type(s):

Allegations: NASD CONDUCT RULES 2110, 2510(B); RESPONDENT SIGNED PUBLIC

> CUSTOMERS' NAMES ON DOCUMENTS WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION: OBTAINED SIGNATURE GUARANTEES FOR SOME OF SIGNATURES NOTWITHSTANDING THAT SOME OF THE SIGNATURES WERE NOT

GENUINE: EFFECTED TRANSACTIONS IN CUSTOMERS' ACCOUNTS BASED ON ORAL OR WRITTEN DISCRETIONARY POWER THE CUSTOMER HAD GRANTED HIM WITHOUT OBTAINING THE DISCRETIONARY POWER FROM HIS MEMBER FIRM; EFFECTED A PURCHASE OF A VARIABLE ANNUITY ON BEHALF OF A CUSTOMER AND GUARANTEED THAT THE CUSTOMER'S INVESTMENT WOULD EARN A MINIMUM 6.4% IN THE FIRST YEAR.

Current Status: Final

Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC) Resolution:

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

Nο

Resolution Date: 10/06/2005

Sanctions Ordered: Bar

Other Sanctions Ordered:



Reporting Source: Broker
Regulatory Action Initiated NASD

By:

Sanction(s) Sought:

Other Sanction(s) Sought: BAR

Date Initiated: 09/15/2004

Docket/Case Number:

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the

regulatory action:

CENTAURUS FINANCIAL

Product Type: No Product

Other Product Type(s):

Allegations: FORMER BUSINESS PARTNER ACCUSED ME OF SIGNING HIS NAME.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Resolution Date: 10/12/2005

Sanctions Ordered: Bar

Other Sanctions Ordered:

Sanction Details: PERMANENT BAR

Broker Statement AGREED WITH BARRING DUE TO NOT WANTING TO BECOME AFFILIATED

WITH ANY BROKER DEALERS. FORMER BUSINESS PARTNER'S

ACCUSSATIONS WERE NOT PROVEN TO BE FACTUAL



Criminal - Final Disposition

This type of disclosure event involves a conviction or guilty plea for any felony or certain misdemeanor offenses, including bribery, perjury, forgery, counterfeiting, extortion, fraud, and wrongful taking of property that is currently on appeal.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source: Regulator

Formal Charges were

Federal Court

brought in:

Name of Court: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF

PENNSYLVANIA

Location of Court: HARRISBURG, PA

Docket/Case #: 1:12CR181

Charge Date: 07/18/2012

Charge(s) 1 of 6

Formal SECURITIS FRAUD

Charge(s)/Description:

No of Counts:

Felony or Misdemeanor: Felony

Plea for each charge: NOT GUILTY

Disposition of charge:

Charge(s) 2 of 6

Formal

WIRE FRAUD

Convicted

Charge(s)/Description:

No of Counts: 14

Felony or Misdemeanor: Felony

Plea for each charge: NOT GUILTY

Disposition of charge:

Convicted

Charge(s) 3 of 6

Formal FALSE STATEMENTS

Charge(s)/Description:

No of Counts:

Felony or Misdemeanor: Felony



Plea for each charge: NOT GUILTY

Disposition of charge:

Convicted

Charge(s) 4 of 6

Formal

BANK FRAUD

Charge(s)/Description:

No of Counts:

Felony or Misdemeanor: Felony

Plea for each charge: NOT GUILTY

Disposition of charge:

Charge(s) 5 of 6

Convicted

Formal Charge(s)/Description:

INVESTMENT ADVISOR FRAUD

. . . .

No of Counts:

Felony or Misdemeanor: Felony

Plea for each charge: NOT GUILTY

Disposition of charge:

Charge(s) 6 of 6

Convicted

Formal

MAIL FRAUD

Charge(s)/Description:

No of Counts: 3

Felony or Misdemeanor: Felony

Plea for each charge: NOT GUILTY

Disposition of charge: Convicted

Current Status: Final

Status Date: 07/31/2014

Disposition Date: 07/31/2014

Sentence/Penalty: ROBERT G. BARD WAS SENTENCED ON JULY 31, 2014 TO IMPRISONMENT

FOR TWO HUNDRED SIXTY TWO (262) MONTHS. THIS TERM CONSISTS OF 240 MONTHS ON EACH OF COUNTS 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12, 13,14,15,16,17 AND 18; 262 MONTHS ON COUNT 19; AND 60 MONTHS ON COUNTS 20 AND

21, ALL TO BE SERVED CONCURRENTLY. UPON RELEASE FROM

IMPRISONMENT, THE DEFENDANT SHALL BE ON SUPERVISED RELEASE



FOR A TERM OF TWO YEARS. THIS TERM CONSISTS OF 2 YEARS ON EACH OF COUNTS 1 THROUGH 21, ALL TO BE SERVED CONCURRENTLY. DEFENDANT SHALL PAY RESTITUTION IN THE AMOUNT TOTALING \$4,204,210.78. DURING THE TERM OF IMPRISONMENT, THE RESTITUTION IS PAYABLE EVERY 3 MONTHS IN AN AMOUNT, AFTER A TELEPHONE ALLOWANCE, EQUAL TO 50 PERCENT OF THE FUNDS DEPOSITED INTO THE DEFENDANT'S INMATE TRUST FUND ACCOUNT.

www.finra.org/brokercheck



Civil - Final

This type of disclosure event involves an injunction issued by a court in connection with investment-related activity or a finding by a court of a violation of any investment-related statute or regulation.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source: Regulator

Initiated By: UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Relief Sought: Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Disgorgement Injunction

Monetary Penalty other than Fines

Restraining Order

Date Court Action Filed: 07/30/2009

Product Type: Penny Stock

Other: UNSPECIFIED SECURITIES

Type of Court: Federal Court

Name of Court: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF

PENNSYLVANIA

Location of Court: PENNSYLVANIA

Docket/Case #: 1:09-CV-1473

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the

occurred which led to the action:

Allegations:

VISION SPECIALIST GROUP LLC

SEC LITIGATION RELEASE 21160, JULY 30, 2009: THE SECURITIES AND

EXCHANGE COMMISSION ("COMMISSION") ANNOUNCED THE FILING OF AN EMERGENCY ACTION AGAINST ROBERT GLENN BARD AND HIS FIRM, AN INVESTMENT ADVISER REGISTERED WITH PENNSYLVANIA AND WEST VIRGINIA, FOR CONDUCTING AN ONGOING FRAUD. THE COMMISSION ALLEGED THAT BARD VIOLATED SECTION 17(A) OF THE SECURITIES ACT

OF 1933 ("SECURITIES ACT"), SECTION 10(B) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 ("EXCHANGE ACT") AND RULE 10B-5 THEREUNDER, AND SECTIONS 206(1) AND (2) OF THE INVESTMENT

ADVISERS ACT OF 1940 ("ADVISERS ACT").

THE COMMISSION ALLEGED THAT FROM AT LEAST 2005 TO THE PRESENT.

BARD TARGETED INVESTORS IN AND AROUND THE SMALL RURAL

COMMUNITY OF WARFORDSBURG WITH PROMISES OF HIGH YIELDS AND SAFETY OF PRINCIPAL, TELLING CLIENTS THAT THEY HAD INVESTED IN



SAFE INVESTMENTS SUCH AS BONDS, CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT, AND MONEY MARKET FUNDS. IN REALITY, BARD SQUANDERED HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS OR MORE OF CLIENT FUNDS BY MAKING RISKY (AND LOSING) INVESTMENTS IN PENNY STOCKS AND OTHER SECURITIES AND BORROWING ON MARGIN.

THE COMMISSION FURTHER ALLEGED THAT BARD, THROUGH HIS FIRM, PERPETRATED HIS SCHEME BY MATERIALLY MISREPRESENTING AND FAILING TO FULLY DISCLOSE THE TYPES OF INVESTMENTS HE MADE FOR CLIENTS AND THE PERFORMANCE OF CLIENTS' ACCOUNTS. HE CREATED FALSE STATEMENTS MISREPRESENTING THE TRUE VALUE OF CLIENT ACCOUNTS AND HIS FIRM'S OPERATING ACCOUNT TO COVER CLIENT WITHDRAWALS AND PAY MARGIN CALLS SO THAT CLIENTS BELIEVED THEIR ACCOUNTS HAD FUNDS THAT THEY DID NOT HAVE. IN ADDITION. FOR AT LEAST ONE CLIENT, BARD CHARGED FEES ALTHOUGH BARD REPRESENTED THAT HE WOULD NOT. FURTHERMORE. BARD FORGED CLIENT AUTHORIZATION FORMS TO TRANSFER FUNDS BETWEEN ACCOUNTS TO CONCEAL THE DISSIPATION OF ASSETS. BARD HAS MARKETED HIMSELF AS A DEEPLY RELIGIOUS MAN AND HAS BENEFITTED FROM HIS AND HIS FAMILY'S REPUTATION IN THIS SMALL RURAL COMMUNITY. BARD'S FIRM CURRENTLY HAS AT LEAST \$4.4 MILLION IN ADVISORY CLIENT ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT IN OVER 150 ACCOUNTS.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Judgment Rendered

Resolution Date: 02/02/2012

Sanctions Ordered or Relief

Granted:

Civil and Administrative Penalty(ies)/Fine(s)

Disgorgement Injunction

Capacities 1 of 1

Capacities Affected: ALL CAPACITIES

Duration: PERMANENT

Start Date: 11/10/2011

End Date:

Monetary Sanction 1 of 2

Monetary Sanction: Disgorgement

Total Amount: \$450,000.00



Portion against individual: 450000

Date Paid:

Portion Waived: No

Amount Waived:

Monetary Sanction 2 of 2

Monetary Sanction: Monetary Fine

Total Amount: \$2,500,000.00

Portion against individual: 2500000

Date Paid:

Portion Waived: No

Amount Waived:

Regulator Statement

ON JULY 30, 2009, THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA ISSUED A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER WHICH FREEZES BARD AND HIS COMPANY'S ASSETS, PRECLUDES THEM FROM EXERCISING ANY AUTHORITY OVER CLIENT ACCOUNTS AND IMPOSES OTHER EMERGENCY RELIEF.

SEC LITIGATION RELEASE 21936, APRIL 18, 2011: THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ANNOUNCED THAT ON APRIL 12, 2011, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA ENTERED A STIPULATED ORDER FINDING DEFENDANT ROBERT GLENN BARD IN CONTEMPT BASED ON BARD'S RECEIPT OF FUNDS, CONTROL OF CLIENT ACCOUNTS, AND PARTICIPATION IN INCOME ACTIVITIES IN THE FINANCIAL SERVICES SECTOR IN VIOLATION OF TWO OF THE COURT'S PREVIOUS ORDERS. THE COURT ORDERED BARD TO PROVIDE A VERIFIED ACCOUNTING LISTING HIS CLIENTS AND ASSETS ACQUIRED SINCE AUGUST 11, 2009, AND FURTHER ORDERED HIM TO PROVIDE A NOTICE TO ALL CLIENTS INFORMING THEM THAT HE HAD BEEN HELD IN CONTEMPT, AND THAT HE WAS PROHIBITED FROM CONTROLLING CLIENT MONEY OR WORKING IN THE FINANCIAL SERVICES SECTOR.

SEC LITIGATION RELEASE 22267, FEBRUARY 23, 2012: THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION ANNOUNCED THAT ON FEBRUARY 2, 2012, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA ENTERED AN ORDER IMPOSING A \$2,500,000 CIVIL PENALTY JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY AGAINST DEFENDANTS ROBERT GLENN BARD AND HIS FIRM. IN AN EARLIER ORDER ON NOVEMBER 10, 2011, THE COURT FOUND THAT



DEFENDANTS MADE FALSE STATEMENTS TO THIRTY-THREE OF THEIR INVESTMENT ADVISORY CLIENTS ON 146 SEPARATE OCCASIONS ABOUT WHAT TYPE OF SECURITIES AND HOLDINGS THEY HAD, WHERE THE ASSETS WERE, AND THE VALUE OF THE ASSETS, AND THAT THEY CHARGED AT LEAST ONE CLIENT EXCESSIVE FEES. IN ASSESSING THE PENALTY, THE COURT FOUND THAT THE EGREGIOUSNESS OF DEFENDANTS' BEHAVIOR, THE RECURRENT NATURE OF THE CONDUCT, THE LACK OF COOPERATION WITH AUTHORITIES, DEFENDANTS' DEGREE OF SCIENTER, AND THE RISK OF LOSS CREATED BY DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS ALL WEIGHED IN FAVOR OF IMPOSING A SUBSTANTIAL PENALTY.

THIS CASE ARISES OUT OF ALLEGATIONS BY THE COMMISSION IN A COMPLAINT FILED ON JULY 30, 2009, THAT DEFENDANT BARD, AN INVESTMENT ADVISER, AND HIS SOLELY-OWNED COMPANY, HAD VIOLATED THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS THROUGH FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATIONS REGARDING CLIENT INVESTMENTS, ACCOUNT PERFORMANCE AND ADVISORY FEES. THE CREATION OF FALSE CLIENT ACCOUNT STATEMENTS, AND FORGERY OF CLIENT DOCUMENTS. ON NOVEMBER 10, 2011, THE COURT GRANTED THE COMMISSION'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. THE COURT FOUND BARD AND HIS FIRM LIABLE FOR VIOLATIONS OF § 17(A) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, § 10(B) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934. AND RULE 10B-5 THEREUNDER. AND §§ 206(1) AND 206(2) OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940. IN THAT ORDER, THE COURT ALSO ENTERED PERMANENT INJUNCTIONS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THOSE PROVISIONS. AND HELD THE DEFENDANTS JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY LIABLE FOR DISGORGEMENT OF \$450,000, PLUS PREJUDGMENT INTEREST IN AN AMOUNT TO BE DETERMINED.

www.finra.org/brokercheck

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.