

BrokerCheck Report

Keith Thomas Sandvoss

CRD# 3045227

<u>Section Title</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 4
Registration and Employment History	6
Disclosure Events	7



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. [Imposters](#) might link to sites like BrokerCheck from [phishing](#) or similar scam websites, or through [social media](#), trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.

About BrokerCheck®



BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

- **What is included in a BrokerCheck report?**

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the same disclosure events mentioned above.
- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

- **Where did this information come from?**

- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:
 - o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
 - o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.

- **How current is this information?**

- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.

- **What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?**

- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at <https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at <http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414>.

- **Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?**

- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.



Keith T. Sandvoss

CRD# 3045227

Currently employed by and registered with the following Firm(s):

IA EDWARD JONES
208 MAIN STREET
FORT MILL, SC 29715
CRD# 250
Registered with this firm since: 06/07/2007

B EDWARD JONES
208 MAIN STREET
FORT MILL, SC 29715
CRD# 250
Registered with this firm since: 05/29/1998

Report Summary for this Broker

This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is registered with:

- 4 Self-Regulatory Organizations
- 21 U.S. states and territories

This broker has passed:

- 0 Principal/Supervisory Exams
- 2 General Industry/Product Exams
- 2 State Securities Law Exams

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

No information reported.

Disclosure Events

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? **Yes**

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	2



Broker Qualifications

Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This individual is currently registered with 4 SROs and is licensed in 21 U.S. states and territories through his or her employer.

Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **EDWARD JONES**

Main Office Address: **12555 MANCHESTER ROAD
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3710**

Firm CRD#: **250**

	SRO	Category	Status	Date
B	FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	05/29/1998
B	NYSE American LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	09/13/2011
B	Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/12/2006
B	New York Stock Exchange	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/20/1998

	U.S. State/ Territory	Category	Status	Date
B	Alabama	Agent	Approved	09/14/2017
B	Arizona	Agent	Approved	04/14/2020
B	California	Agent	Approved	07/09/2009
B	Connecticut	Agent	Approved	02/27/2019
B	Florida	Agent	Approved	11/20/2009
B	Georgia	Agent	Approved	12/10/2014
B	Hawaii	Agent	Approved	04/22/2024
B	Illinois	Agent	Approved	08/13/2019
B	Louisiana	Agent	Approved	08/23/2004



Broker Qualifications

Employment 1 of 1, continued

	U.S. State/ Territory	Category	Status	Date
B	Maryland	Agent	Approved	06/25/2024
B	Mississippi	Agent	Approved	01/18/2017
B	Missouri	Agent	Approved	07/27/1998
B	New York	Agent	Approved	11/17/2010
B	North Carolina	Agent	Approved	06/30/1998
B	Ohio	Agent	Approved	04/08/2009
B	Oregon	Agent	Approved	10/22/2019
B	Pennsylvania	Agent	Approved	10/19/2011
B	South Carolina	Agent	Approved	07/07/1998
IA	South Carolina	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	06/07/2007
B	Tennessee	Agent	Approved	01/13/2014
B	Texas	Agent	Approved	07/29/2014
IA	Texas	Investment Adviser Representative	Restricted Approval	08/26/2014
B	Wisconsin	Agent	Approved	03/25/2013

Branch Office Locations

EDWARD JONES
 208 MAIN STREET
 FORT MILL, SC 29715



Broker Qualifications

Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below. A passed exam or exam waiver does not permit a broker to do business without an active SRO or state registration.

This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 2 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
No information reported.		

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
B Securities Industry Essentials Examination	SIE	10/01/2018
B General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	05/27/1998

State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
B IA Uniform Combined State Law Examination	Series 66	05/29/2007
B Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination	Series 63	05/28/1998

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.

Broker Qualifications



Professional Designations

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.



Registration and Employment History

Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

Registration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
No information reported.			

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

Employment	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
03/1998 - Present	EDWARD D. JONES & CO., L.P.	NOT PROVIDED	Y	FT MILL, SC, United States

Other Business Activities

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.

Disclosure Events



What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.
2. **Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:**
 - o A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
 - o A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.
 - o
3. **Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:**
 - o As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.
 - o
4. **There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:**
 - o A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
 - A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
 - o A final event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Pending	Final	On Appeal
Customer Dispute	0	2	N/A



Disclosure Event Details

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a disclosure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Customer Dispute - Closed-No Action / Withdrawn / Dismissed / Denied

This type of disclosure event involves (1) a consumer-initiated, investment-related arbitration or civil suit containing allegations of sales practice violations against the individual broker that was dismissed, withdrawn, or denied; or (2) a consumer-initiated, investment-related written complaint containing allegations that the broker engaged in sales practice violations resulting in compensatory damages of at least \$5,000, forgery, theft, or misappropriation, or conversion of funds or securities, which was closed without action, withdrawn, or denied.

Disclosure 1 of 2

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: EDWARD JONES

Allegations: CLIENTS CLAIM THEY PURCHASED THE FUNDS IN 1999 AND MET WITH THE FA EACH YEAR AFTER THAT AS THE VALUE OF THEIR ACCOUNT CONTINUED TO DECLINE. EACH YEAR THE CLIENTS CLAIM THE FA CONVINCED THEM TO NOT MAKE CHANGES AND WERE TOLD THE FUNDS WOULD TURN AROUND. CLIENTS CLAIM AFTER YEARS OF CONTINUOUS LOSSES THEY BELIEVE THE FA SHOULD HAVE BEEN RECOMMENDING CHANGES AS THEY BELIEVE HE WAS ONLY COLLECTING COMMISSIONS AND NOT PAYING ATTENTION TO THEIR INVESTMENTS OR CONCERNS.

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Alleged Damages: \$6,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 04/18/2008

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 06/16/2008

Settlement Amount:



**Individual Contribution
Amount:**

Broker Statement

OUR RECORDS REFLECT INITIAL PURCHASE OF PUTNAM FUNDS OCCURRED IN DEC 1999. FA STATED CLIENTS WERE UNHAPPY WITH PREVIOUS FIRM AND WANTED TO INVEST FOR POTENTIAL GROWTH. ADDITIONALLY, FA STATED CLIENTS DID NOT HAVE A NEED FOR INCOME AND INVESTED THESE FUNDS FOR THE LONG-TERM TOWARDS RETIREMENT. ACCORDING TO FA, HE MET WITH CLIENT ON A REGULAR BASIS AND WAS NEVER INFORMED OF A CHANGE IN INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES NOR WAS HE MADE AWARE OF ANY GREAT CONCERN REGARDING ACCOUNT AS THE MARKET AS A WHOLE WAS VOLATILE. CLIENTS WOULD HAVE RECEIVED TRADE CONFIRMATIONS AND PROSPECTUSES AT THE TIME OF PURCHASE AND STATEMENTS REFLECTING THE VALUE OF THE FUNDS. BASED ON CONVERSATIONS WITH CLIENTS, CHANGES WERE BEING MADE TO THE ALLOCATION OF FUNDS DURING THE FIRST 3 YEARS AND ALL OF THE PUTNAM FUNDS HAD BEEN CHANGED TO AMERICAN FUNDS WITHIN APPROX 4 YEARS. ACCORDING TO FA (AND OUR RECORDS) CLIENT BEGAN TO DCA INTO AMERICAN FUNDS AND LATER INCREASED AMOUNT OF DCA. WHILE WE UNDERSTAND DISAPPOINTMENT WITH PERFORMANCE OF FUNDS, MARKET FLUCTUATION IS A RISK ASSOCIATED WITH INVESTING. FUNDS APPEAR TO HAVE BEEN WITHIN SCOPE OF INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES OF ACCOUNT. BASED ON OUR REVIEW, IT DOES APPEAR THE FUNDS WERE INITIALLY PURCHASED BASED ON CONVERSATIONS WITH FA AND WITH CLIENTS' AUTHORIZATION. FURTHER, IT APPEARS ACCOUNT WAS REVIEWED ON AN ANNUAL BASIS AND CHANGES WERE MADE TO FUND ALLOCATIONS WHEN DEEMED NECESSARY & AT CLIENTS' DIRECTION. FA HAS INDICATED HE AT NO TIME FORCED CLIENTS TO MAKE AN INVESTMENT AS THE ULTIMATE DECISION REGARDING THE ACCOUNT WAS THEIRS. CLAIM DENIED.

Disclosure 2 of 2

Reporting Source:

Broker

**Employing firm when
activities occurred which led
to the complaint:**

EDWARD JONES

Allegations:

WHEN THE CLIENT MOVED HIS ACCOUNT TO THE FT. MILL, SC BRANCH OFFICE IN 2000, CLIENT ALLEGES IR ENCOURAGED HIM TO PURCHASE PUTNAM VOYAGER, PUTNAM GLOBAL GROWTH AND THE VAN KAMPEN EMERGING GROWTH FUND. ALTHOUGH CLIENT HAS TAKEN MONTHLY DISTRIBUTIONS FROM THESE FUNDS, THE MUTUAL FUNDS HAVE DECLINED BY OVER 50% DURING THE PAST FOUR YEARS. CLIENT



ALLEGES HE FOLLOWED THE ADVICE OF THE IR AND AS A RESULT HAS LOST OVER HALF OF THE VALUE OF HIS ACCOUNT. CLIENT WOULD LIKE HIS ACCOUNT RETURNED TO THE WAY IT WAS PRIOR TO MARCH 21, 2000. ALLEGED LOSSES EXCEED \$5,000.

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Alleged Damages: \$5,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 09/29/2004

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 10/13/2004

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Broker Statement

THE IR ADVISED THAT WHEN THIS ACCOUNT TRANSFERRED TO HIS OFFICE THERE WAS NEVER ANY MENTION THAT CLIENT WANTED TO INVEST IN ONLY THE TWO GROWTH AND INCOME FUNDS. THE IR PRESENTED SOME OPTIONAL INVESTMENTS AND PROVIDED THE CLIENT WITH 20-YEAR HYPOTHEICALS AND PROSPECTUSES. IR STATED THAT THE CLIENT INDICATED HE WOULD REVIEW THE PROPOSAL OVERNIGHT AND WHEN CLIENT RETURNED TO THE OFFICE THE NEXT DAY, CLIENT AUTHORIZED IR TO PURCHASE THE VAN KAMPEN AND PUTNAM FUNDS. THE CLIENT NEVER INDICATED HIS DISPLEASURE WITH THESE FUNDS UNTIL FOUR YEARS LATER WHEN CLIENT WAS IN THE IR'S OFFICE FOR AN ACCOUNT REVIEW. SINCE THE CLIENT WAS PROVIDED WITH THE APPROPRIATE PROSPECTUSES, TRADE CONFIRMATIONS AND MONTHLY STATEMENTS DURING THE PAST FOUR YEARS, HIS REQUEST TO REINSTATE HIS ACCOUNT BACK IN TIME TO MARCH 2000 WAS DENIED.

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.