

BrokerCheck Report

Zachary Benjamin Tarter

CRD# 3251398

<u>Section Title</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 4
Registration and Employment History	6
Disclosure Events	7



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. [Imposters](#) might link to sites like BrokerCheck from [phishing](#) or similar scam websites, or through [social media](#), trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.

About BrokerCheck®

BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

- **What is included in a BrokerCheck report?**

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the same disclosure events mentioned above.
- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

- **Where did this information come from?**

- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:
 - o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
 - o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.

- **How current is this information?**

- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.

- **What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?**

- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at <https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at <http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414>.

- **Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?**

- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at

brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources.

For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.



Zachary B. Tarter

CRD# 3251398

Currently employed by and registered with the following Firm(s):

IA EDWARD JONES
130 EDWARD JONES BLVD
ST LOUIS, MO 63043
CRD# 250
Registered with this firm since: 03/03/2007

B EDWARD JONES
130 EDWARD JONES BLVD
ST LOUIS, MO 63043
CRD# 250
Registered with this firm since: 08/25/1999

Report Summary for this Broker

This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is registered with:

- 4 Self-Regulatory Organizations
- 1 U.S. state or territory

This broker has passed:

- 1 Principal/Supervisory Exam
- 2 General Industry/Product Exams
- 2 State Securities Law Exams

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

No information reported.

Disclosure Events

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? **Yes**

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Type	Count
Customer Dispute	3



Broker Qualifications

Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This individual is currently registered with 4 SROs and is licensed in 1 U.S. state or territory through his or her employer.

Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **EDWARD JONES**

Main Office Address: **12555 MANCHESTER ROAD
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3710**

Firm CRD#: **250**

	SRO	Category	Status	Date
B	FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	08/25/1999
B	FINRA	General Securities Principal	Approved	06/10/2004
B	NYSE American LLC	General Securities Principal	Approved	09/13/2011
B	NYSE American LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	09/14/2011
B	Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Principal	Approved	07/12/2006
B	Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/12/2006
B	New York Stock Exchange	General Securities Representative	Approved	10/18/1999
B	New York Stock Exchange	General Securities Principal	Approved	06/26/2010

	U.S. State/ Territory	Category	Status	Date
B	Missouri	Agent	Approved	12/21/2015
IA	Missouri	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	12/22/2015

Branch Office Locations

EDWARD JONES
130 EDWARD JONES BLVD

Broker Qualifications



Employment 1 of 1, continued

ST LOUIS, MO 63043



Broker Qualifications

Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below. A passed exam or exam waiver does not permit a broker to do business without an active SRO or state registration.

This individual has passed 1 principal/supervisory exam, 2 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
B General Securities Principal Examination	Series 24	06/09/2004

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
B Securities Industry Essentials Examination	SIE	10/01/2018
B General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	08/24/1999

State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
B IA Uniform Combined State Law Examination	Series 66	02/23/2007
B Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination	Series 63	08/26/1999

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.

Broker Qualifications



Professional Designations

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.



Registration and Employment History

Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

Registration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
No information reported.			

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

Employment	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
12/2015 - Present	Edward Jones	FA Development	Y	St. Louis, MO, United States
06/1999 - 12/2015	Edward Jones	Financial Advisor	Y	St. Louis, MO, United States

Other Business Activities

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.

Disclosure Events



What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.
2. **Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:**
 - o A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
 - o A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.
 - o
3. **Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:**
 - o As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.
 - o
4. **There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:**
 - o A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
 - A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
 - o A final event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Pending	Final	On Appeal
Customer Dispute	0	3	N/A



Disclosure Event Details

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a disclosure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Customer Dispute - Settled

This type of disclosure event involves a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit containing allegations of sale practice violations against the broker that resulted in a monetary settlement to the customer.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source:	Broker
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	EDWARD JONES
Allegations:	CLIENTS STATE IR RECOMMENDED THE SALE OF THEIR PUTNAM FUNDS TO PURCHASE UNIT TRUSTS. CLIENTS STATE THE UNIT TRUST IS AGGRESSIVE AND DOES NOT MEET THEIR ACCOUNT OBJECTIVES. CLIENTS ALSO STATE THE UNIT TRUST IS A TAX-ADVANTAGED PRODUCT AND WAS PURCHASED IN THEIR IRA ACCOUNTS, THEREFORE, THE TAX ADVANTAGE WAS LOST. CLIENTS ARE INQUIRING WHY THE IR RECOMMENDED THE UNIT TRUST INSTEAD OF MUTUAL FUNDS WHERE THE CLIENTS WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF BREAKPOINTS. CLIENTS ARE ALLEGING LOSSES IN EXCESS OF \$5,000.
Product Type:	Unit Investment Trust
Alleged Damages:	\$5,000.00
Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):	ALLEGATIONS CLAIM DAMAGES THAT APPEAR TO BE IN EXCESS OF \$5000.
Is this an oral complaint?	No
Is this a written complaint?	Yes
Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?	No



Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 09/14/2004

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 10/22/2004

Settlement Amount: \$254.00

Individual Contribution Amount: \$0.00

Broker Statement

WE HAVE REVIEWED THE ACCOUNTS AND HAVE BEEN IN CONTACT WITH OUR PRODUCT REVIEW AND TRADING DEPARTMENTS. UPON FURTHER REVIEW OF THE FIRST TRUST VALUE LINE UNIT TRUST, WE HAVE CHANGED OUR INVESTMENT CATEGORY FROM GROWTH TO AGGRESSIVE. WE HAVE OFFERED TO HAVE THE TRADES CANCELLED IN THE TWO IRA ACCOUNTS AND HAVE OFFERED TO REIMBURSE THE JOINT ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$254.55, WHICH REPRESENTS THE DIFFERENCE IN THE PURCHASE AND SALE PRICE.



Customer Dispute - Closed-No Action / Withdrawn / Dismissed / Denied

This type of disclosure event involves (1) a consumer-initiated, investment-related arbitration or civil suit containing allegations of sales practice violations against the individual broker that was dismissed, withdrawn, or denied; or (2) a consumer-initiated, investment-related written complaint containing allegations that the broker engaged in sales practice violations resulting in compensatory damages of at least \$5,000, forgery, theft, or misappropriation, or conversion of funds or securities, which was closed without action, withdrawn, or denied.

Disclosure 1 of 2

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: EDWARD JONES

Allegations: CLIENT STATES IR INVESTED \$850,000 IN THREE DIFFERENT FUND COMPANIES IN CLASS A SHARES OVER SEVERAL WEEKS, CHARGING CLIENT THE MAXIMUM SALES CHARGE. CLIENT STATES THE TRANSACTIONS COULD HAVE BEEN CARRIED OUT WITH VIRTUALLY NO COMMISSIONS BY PURCHASING B OR C SHARES, OR BORROWING AN ADDITIONAL \$150,000. CLIENT ALSO STATES IR DID NOT EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENT CLASSES OF FUNDS, RIGHTS OF ACCUMULATION, LETTER OF INTENT AND BREAKPOINT DISCOUNTS. CLIENT ADDITIONALLY STATES IF SHE HAD BEEN INFORMED OF THE BREAKPOINT LEVELS, CLIENT WOULD HAVE INVESTED \$500,000 IN ONE FUND FAMILY AND MET THE \$500,000 BREAKPOINT. CLIENT STATES SHE DOES NOT BELIEVE THE DECISIONS MADE BY THE IR WERE IN HER BEST INTEREST, BUT BELIEVES IR MADE DECISIONS THAT WERE IN HIS BEST INTEREST. CLIENT IS REQUESTING RETURN OF COMMISSIONS OF APPROXIMATELY \$30,000.

Product Type: Mutual Fund(s)

Alleged Damages: \$30,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 09/14/2004

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 10/14/2004

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Broker Statement IR INDICATED HE RECOMMENDED USING THREE MUTUAL FUND FAMILIES TO BETTER DIVERSIFY THE CLIENT'S ACCOUNT AND LIMIT THE CLIENT'S



EXPOSURE BY HAVING ALL HER FUNDS INVESTED IN ONE MUTUAL FUND FAMILY. ADDITIONALLY, IR INDICATED HE DID DISCUSS CLASS A, CLASS B AND CLASS C SHARES WITH THE CLIENT. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THE CLIENT DID NOT WANT TO BE LOCKED INTO ANY INVESTMENT AND WANTED THE LOWER ANNUAL CHARGES, SO THE IR RECOMMENDED THE CLASS A SHARES. WHILE THE CLIENT MADE INVESTMENTS OVER A PERIOD OF TIME, IT APPEARS THE IR USED DOLLAR COST AVERAGING TO INVEST THOSE DOLLARS AND IT ALSO APPEARS THE CLIENT RECEIVED LARGER BREAKPOINTS ON MANY OF THE TRADES RESULTING IN COMMISSION SAVINGS IN EXCESS OF \$5,000. IN CLOSING, AT THE TIME OF THE TRANSACTIONS THE IR INDICATED HE SUPPLIED THE CLIENT WITH THE APPROPRIATE PROSPECTUS, WHICH ALSO INCLUDES INFORMATION REGARDING THE SHARE CLASSES AND SALES CHARGES. BASED ON OUR INVESTIGATION, WE HAVE NO REASON TO BELIEVE THE ACCOUNT WAS HANDLED INAPPROPRIATELY BY THE IR AND THEREFORE DENY THE CLIENT'S REQUEST FOR COMPENSATION.

Disclosure 2 of 2

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: EDWARD JONES

Allegations: CLIENT STATES THAT SHE WAS HAVING \$600 PER MONTH AUTOMATICALLY WITHDRAWN FROM HER CHECKING ACCT. IN 3/02 SHE INFORMED IR THAT SHE NEEDED TO REDUCE THE AMT TO \$200. CLIENT CLAIMS THE PAPERWORK WAS SENT TO HER BUT SHE NEVER SIGNED. ON 5/15/02 THE CHANGE BECAME EFFECTIVE AND SHE CLAIMS THAT IR ADMITTED HE SIGNED HER NAME ON THE LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION FOR PERIODIC ELECTRONIC TRANSFER FROM A BANK ACCOUNT. CLIENT IS REQUESTING \$3,150 FOR FUNDS INVESTED 11/01-3/02 AND DEMANDS MICRON TECH BE TRANSFERRED BACK TO BIDWELL CO.

Product Type: No Product

Alleged Damages: \$5,000.00

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 07/30/2002

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 09/03/2002

**Settlement Amount:****Individual Contribution
Amount:****Broker Statement**

THE CLIENT REQUESTED HER MONTHLY ACH BE REDUCED TO \$200 FROM \$600. THE CLIENT DID NOT RETURN THE LOA TO PROCESS THE REQUEST AND INCURRED INSUFFICIENT CHARGES DUE TO THE APRIL 2002 ACH ATTEMPT. THE BOA SIGNED THE FORM ON BEHALF OF THE CLIENT FOR THE MAY ACH SO THE CLIENT WOULD NOT BE INSUFFICIENT AT THE BANK. THE CLIENT PROTESTED WHEN SHE DISCOVERED THE LOA WAS SIGNED BY THE BRANCH AND THE IR REVERSED THE MAY ACH AND THE TRANSFERS HAVE CEASED. THE CLIENT'S CLAIM IS DENIED AS SHE WAS NOT HARMED DUE TO THE BRANCH ACTIONS.

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.