

BrokerCheck Report

CLEMENT CHI WAI WONG

CRD# 4845764

Section Title	Page(s)
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 5
Registration and Employment History	7
Disclosure Events	8



When communicating online or investing with any professional, make sure you know who you're dealing with. <u>Imposters</u> might link to sites like BrokerCheck from <u>phishing</u> or similar scam websites, or through <u>social media</u>, trying to steal your personal information or your money.

Please contact FINRA with any concerns.

About BrokerCheck®



BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

What is included in a BrokerCheck report?

- BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional
 qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck
 reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the
 same disclosure events mentioned above.
- Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.
- Where did this information come from?
- The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:
 - o information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
 - o information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.
- How current is this information?
- Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.
- What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?
- To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at https://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414.
- Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?
- FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding
 to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser
 representatives doing business in your state.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.

CLEMENT C. WONG

CRD# 4845764

Currently employed by and registered with the following Firm(s):



Suite 200 Pleasanton, CA 94588 CRD# 149777

Registered with this firm since: 09/17/2010

R MORGAN STANLEY

4309 Hacienda Drive Suite 200 Pleasanton, CA 94588 CRD# 149777

Registered with this firm since: 07/23/2010

Report Summary for this Broker



This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is registered with:

- 4 Self-Regulatory Organizations
- 24 U.S. states and territories

This broker has passed:

- 0 Principal/Supervisory Exams
- 3 General Industry/Product Exams
- 2 State Securities Law Exams

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

B EDWARD JONES CRD# 250 PLYMOUTH, MN 09/2004 - 07/2010

Disclosure Events

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? Yes

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Type Count
Customer Dispute 1

Broker Qualifications



Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This individual is currently registered with 4 SROs and is licensed in 24 U.S. states and territories through his or her employer.

Employment 1 of 1

Firm Name: **MORGAN STANLEY**

Main Office Address: 2000 WESTCHESTER AVENUE

PURCHASE, NY 10577-2530

Firm CRD#: 149777

	SRO	Category	Status	Date
В	FINRA	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/23/2010
B	NYSE American LLC	General Securities Representative	Approved	06/17/2011
B	Nasdaq Stock Market	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/23/2010
B	New York Stock Exchange	General Securities Representative	Approved	07/23/2010
	U.S. State/ Territory	Category	Status	Date
B	Alabama	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
B	Arizona	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
B	California	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
IA	California	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	09/01/2020
B	Colorado	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
B	District of Columbia	Agent	Approved	05/08/2024
B	Florida	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
B	Georgia	Agent	Approved	10/15/2018
B	Idaho	Agent	Approved	11/11/2022

Broker Qualifications



Employment 1 of 1, continued

	U.S. State/ Territory	Category	Status	Date
B	Illinois	Agent	Approved	08/20/2019
B	Indiana	Agent	Approved	01/06/2015
B	Iowa	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
B	Maryland	Agent	Approved	04/19/2022
B	Massachusetts	Agent	Approved	02/27/2012
В	Minnesota	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
IA	Minnesota	Investment Adviser Representative	Approved	11/14/2013
B	New Jersey	Agent	Approved	01/07/2021
В	New York	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
B	North Carolina	Agent	Approved	12/08/2014
В	Ohio	Agent	Approved	08/08/2013
B	Oregon	Agent	Approved	10/03/2022
В	South Carolina	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
В	Texas	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
IA	Texas	Investment Adviser Representative	Restricted Approval	09/17/2010
B	Virginia	Agent	Approved	07/29/2011
B	Washington	Agent	Approved	07/23/2010
B	Wisconsin	Agent	Approved	01/18/2023

Branch Office Locations

MORGAN STANLEY

4309 Hacienda Drive

Broker Qualifications



Employment 1 of 1, continued

Suite 200 Pleasanton, CA 94588

Broker Qualifications



Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below. A passed exam or exam waiver does not permit a broker to do business without an active SRO or state registration.

This individual has passed 0 principal/supervisory exams, 3 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
No information reported.		

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam		Category	Date
B	Securities Industry Essentials Examination	SIE	10/01/2018
B	Futures Managed Funds Examination	Series 31	06/03/2011
B	General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	09/20/2004

State Securities Law Exams

Exam		Category	Date
B	Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination	Series 63	09/23/2004
IA	Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination	Series 65	09/17/2001

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.

www.finra.org/brokercheck
User Guidance

Broker Qualifications



Professional Designations

This section details that the representative has reported **0** professional designation(s).

No information reported.

Registration and Employment History



Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

Registration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
B 09/2004 - 07/2010	EDWARD JONES	250	PLYMOUTH, MN

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

Employment	Employer Name	Position	Investment Related	Employer Location
01/2015 - Present	MORGAN STANLEY PRIVATE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	FINANCIAL ADVISOR	Υ	NEW YORK, NY, United States
07/2010 - Present	MORGAN STANLEY SMITH BARNEY	FINANCIAL ADVISOR	Υ	WAYZATA, MN, United States

Other Business Activities

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

RENTAL PROPERTY; CO-OWNER; INVESTMENT RELATED; START DATE: 08/2011; DURING BUSINESS HOURS-0; AFTER BUSINESS HOURS-2; Milpitas, CA 95035; San Diego, CA 92106;

2.Misnomer Inc.; Investment related: No; Brooklyn, NY; Charity / Private Foundation; Board member, Treasurer(proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent); Jan 2015; During business hours: 0; After business hours: 1;

*550066 - San Jose Chinese Catholic Mission (Cantonese Group); Investment related - No; Santa Clara, California; Religious; Finance Committee, Board Member(proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent); 7/2023; During business hours: 0; After business hours: 10; Administrative, Accounting/Bookkeeping, Check Signing/Funds Disbursements, Fundraising, Business Development/Growth

Disclosure Events



What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

2. Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:

- o A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
- A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.

3. Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:

 As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.

4. There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:

- o A disclosure event may have a status of pending, on appeal, or final.
 - A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
- o A final event generally has a disposition of adjudicated, settled or otherwise resolved.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Pending	Final	On Appeal
Customer Dispute	0	1	N/A



Disclosure Event Details

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a discloure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Customer Dispute - Closed-No Action / Withdrawn / Dismissed / Denied

This type of disclosure event involves (1) a consumer-initiated, investment-related arbitration or civil suit containing allegations of sales practice violations against the individual broker that was dismissed, withdrawn, or denied; or (2) a consumer-initiated, investment-related written complaint containing allegations that the broker engaged in sales practice violations resulting in compensatory damages of at least \$5,000, forgery, theft, or misappropriation, or conversion of funds or securities, which was closed without action, withdrawn, or denied.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led

to the complaint:

EDWARD JONES

Allegations: 4/29/10-5/12/10; THE CLIENTS STATE THEY HAD A MEETING WITH THE FA ON

4/29 TO LIQUIDATE AND THE FA TALKED THEM INTO HOLDING THEIR FUNDS. THE CLIENTS STATE THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THE MARKET AGAIN AND WANTED TO LIQUIDATE ON 5/6/10. THE CLIENT STATES HE CALLED THE BRANCH OFFICE AND SPOKE TO THE BRANCH SECRETARY AND REQUESTED TO LIQUIDATE. THE FA CALLED HIM BACK WHILE IN SAN FRANCISCO AND ACCORDING TO THE CLIENT REFUSED TO LIQUIDATE THE ACCOUNTS. THE CLIENT STATES HE DIDN'T WANT THE FA'S ADVICE AND THE FA SUGGESTED TO THEM TO MOVE THEIR ACCOUNTS TO ANOTHER FA. THE CLIENT STATES THE REASON THE FA REFUSED WAS BECAUSE HE DID NOT AGREE WITH THE DECISION TO LIQUIDATE AND FELT IT WAS A BAD IDEA. THE CLIENTS WOULD LIKE TO BE REIMBURSED THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE LIQUIDATE PRICE ON 5/12/10 AND THE

PRICES ON 4/29/10.

Product Type: Mutual Fund

Alleged Damages: \$5,000.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):

ALLEGATIONS CLAIM DAMAGES THAT APPEAR TO BE IN EXCESS OF \$5,000



Is this an oral complaint?

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?

No

No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 05/18/2010

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 07/12/2010

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution

Amount:

Broker Statement

ACCORDING TO THE FA, HE DID NOT MEET OR SPEAK WITH THE CLIENT ON 4/29/10. UPON REVIEW OF EMAILS SENT TO THE FA, AN APPOINTMENT WAS SCHEDULED FOR THURSDAY, 4/29/10. HOWEVER, THE CLIENT SENT AN EMAIL TO THE FA ON 4/28/10 TO RESCHEDULE THE APPOINTMENT ON MONDAY, 5/3/10. THE CLIENT SENT AN EMAIL TO THE FA ON 5/2/10 CONFIRMING THE MAY 3 APPOINTMENT. THE CLIENT'S EMAIL DID NOT INDICATE ANY REQUEST TO LIQUIDATE ON APRIL 29, 2010. DURING THE APPOINTMENT ON 5/3/10. THE FA STATES HE WAS NOT GIVEN INSTRUCTIONS TO LIQUIDATE ANY ACCOUNTS. ON 5/6/10, THE FA INDICATES HE DID DISCUSS THE ACCOUNTS AND REVIEWED THE REQUESTS TO LIQUIDATE THE ACCOUNTS WHILE HE WAS OUT OF TOWN. THE FA STATES HE DID TRY TO REASSURE THE CLIENTS THE ASSETS HELD WERE DIVERSIFIED IN QUALITY INVESTMENTS AND ENCOURAGED THEM TO FOCUS ON THE LONG TERM PERSPECTIVES. NOT SHORT TERM MARKET FLUCTUATIONS. ACCORDING TO THE FA THEY INFORMED HIM THEY WANTED TO LIQUIDATE THE ACCOUNTS AND MOVE THEM INTO GOLD. THE FA STATES THEY WERE INFORMED THAT MOVING ASSETS INTO GOLD WAS NOT POSSIBLE. HE STATES THE CLIENTS WERE ALSO INFORMED THAT SINCE HE WAS OUT OF TOWN, THEY WOULD NEED TO CONTACT HIS BRANCH OFFICE ASSISTANT AND ORDER SERVICES TO LIQUIDATE THE ACCOUNTS. IT IS OUR UNDERSTANDING THIS CONVERSATION TOOK PLACE AFTER THE MARKET CLOSED ON 5/6/10. UPON REVIEW OF THE CLOSING PRICES ON 5/6 AND 5/7/10. THE CLIENT RECEIVED BETTER PRICES ON 5/12, 2010. BASED ON OUR REVIEW, A FIRM ORDER TO LIQUIDATE ON 4/29/10 WAS NOT GIVEN. IN LIGHT OF THESE CIRCUMSTANCES. THE CLIENT'S REQUEST TO BE REIMBURSED THE



DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE LIQUIDATION 4/29/10 AND THE DATE THE ASSETS WERE ACTUALLY SOLD IS RESPECTFULLY DENIED.

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.